On the fly multi-modal observation of ligand synthesis and complexation of Cu complexes in flow with ‘benchtop’ NMR and mass spectrometry†
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Exploring complex chemical systems requires reproducible and controllable ways to access non-equilibrium conditions. Herein we present a programmable flow system that can do both ligand synthesis and complexation on the fly, and the conditions of the reaction can be monitored using two simultaneous techniques, namely NMR and mass spectrometry. By using this approach we monitored the formation of unknown complexes, followed by crystallization that resulted in the characterisation of their structures giving 5 new compounds (4 isolated and fully characterised) which can be formulated as: Cu$_2$(L$_1^3$)(µ-CO$_3$)(BF$_4$)$_2$ (2); [Cu$_3$(L$_1^6$)(µ-CO$_3$)][PF$_6$]$_2$(OH)$_2$ (3) [Cu$_2$(L$_2^2$)](BF$_4$)$_2$ (4) and [Cu(L$_2^2$)](BF$_4$)$_2$·CH$_3$CN (5).

Introduction

Complex molecular architectures can often form through multi-component coordination chemistry, but often this chemistry is restricted to one-pot reactions. Under most conditions the mechanism of the underlying process of self-assembly is well known being based on the rules of acceptor–donor interactions and are therefore understood and often predictable. However the principle of pre-organisation and self-sorting, although well established to control the outcome of complex molecular architectures, do not apply under non-equilibrium conditions. This means that there is a pressing need to combine the design of coordinating ligands, via coordination programming, with non-equilibrium dynamics to explore the reproducible formation of more complex and structurally diverse architectures. This has not been possible since the approach requires real-time observation of the reaction process. Success could allow the ‘digitalisation’ of chemical discovery and synthesis. Indeed, this digitalisation is long overdue with respect to the development of methods that allow synthesis to be followed more closely, gaining a deeper insight in experiments to be performed more reliably without extensive investment of time and money for so called ‘routine’ literature procedures.

Fig. 1 Schematic representation of the digital-programmable-flow platform to perform ligand-complex synthesis in an automated way. The syringe pumps are computer controlled to deliver the chosen reagents in a well synchronized way into the flow reactors. New compounds are formed in situ and detected by real-time analyses.
intermediate species \([\text{Cu(I)}(L^1)_2]\) \((1)\) – which is not possible to isolate or observe using classical synthetic procedures – important for the self-assembly of two new complexes isolated after crystallization: \([\text{Cu}_2(L^1)_4(\mu-\text{CO}_3)](\text{BF}_4)_2\) \((2)\); \([\text{Cu}_3(L^1)_6(\mu-\text{CO}_3)](\text{PF}_6)_2(\text{OH})_2\) \((3)\). It was also possible to identify a binuclear \(\text{Cu}\)-complex \([\text{Cu}_2(L^2)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\) \((4)\) and \([\text{Cu}(L^2)_2](\text{BF}_4)_2\cdot\text{CH}_3\text{CN}\) \((5)\) in situ.

The structures of four compounds \((2–5)\) discovered in this study were confirmed by single crystal X-ray diffraction, however we also studied the synthesis of two different ligands and their coordination behaviour with \(\text{Cu(I)}\)-precursors under flow.

Results and discussion

To develop our system, we opted to investigate the synthesis of a pyridine-moiety with bidentate and tridentate ligands, followed by a complexation reaction employing a metal precursor in the second step. This model system consists of two different ligands – a bidentate \((L^1 = N-(2\text{-pyridinylmethylene})\text{-benzenemethanamine})\) and a tridentate \((L^2 = 2,6\text{-bis(N-benzyliminomethyl)pyridine})\) ligand – and of two different \(
\text{Cu}\) salts – \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{BF}_4\) and \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{PF}_6\) (Scheme 1). The two ligands are prepared in situ by reacting benzylamine with 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde and 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde to obtain \(L^1\) and \(L^2\) respectively. Both ligands are characterised by strong N-donor properties, which allow us to predict the formation of complex structures according to the metal-cation precursor chosen.

The platform was designed to follow the formation of both ligands and complexes by in-line NMR and MS spectrometers. Therefore, the platform consists of six 5 mL syringe pumps, two reactors \((V_{R1}\text{ with volume of 2.1 mL and } V_{R2}\text{ with volume of 0.7 mL})\) and the two in-line analytics. A custom designed LabVIEW™ interface allows for the automation and synchronization of multi-step reactions, data acquisition and simultaneous screening of reaction conditions.\(^{11,12}\) This platform allows us to operate in continuous and under semi-inert atmosphere conditions, which is of great advantage working with \(\text{Cu}\) salts/complexes. Copper(i) chemistry\(^{13}\) in particular, is well known for producing interesting molecular structures and the flow platform reported here permits easy manipulation of these reagents in a way that is more difficult under batch conditions.\(^{14}\)

The first screening consisted in the flow synthesis of \(L^1\) and its complexation with \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{BF}_4\) or \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{PF}_6\) is illustrated in Scheme 1. The synthesis of \(L^1\) was obtained in 10 minutes, by mixing into \(V_{R1}\) 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde with benzylamine (both as 0.4 M solutions in acetonitrile (MeCN)), having a molar aldehyde : amine ratio of 1 : 1. The formation of \(L^1\) was screened in real-time by integrating the flow set-up described with a benchtop NMR spectrometer, allowing us to analyse reaction mixtures in non-deuterated solvents. This synthesis was investigated using different flow rates and residence times (see Fig. 2), showing a lower conversion to the imine with increasing flow-rate and decreasing reaction time. The disappearance of the signal corresponding to the aldehyde moiety at 10.00 ppm, and the appearance of the imine proton (as a broad band signal overlapping an aromatic proton signal) at 8.76 – 8.39 ppm, in addition to the low field shift from 3.86 ppm to 4.82 ppm of the signal relating to the benzylic protons are evidences for the formation of the expected imine \((L^1)\). The synthesis of \(L^1\) was further confirmed by substituting the flow NMR with a flow ESI-MS which identified the presence of the imine as a signal having a \(m/z = 197.2\) (Fig. S3 in ESI†) corresponding to the protonated ligand \((L^1 + H)^+\).

Scheme 1  Flow synthesis of \(N-(2\text{-pyridinylmethylene})\text{-benzenemethanamine} (L^1)\), \(2,6\text{-bis(N-benzyliminomethyl)pyridine} (L^2)\) and their complexation with metal precursors \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{BF}_4\) and \(\text{Cu(Ch}_3\text{CN})_4\text{PF}_6\). See ESI† for full details.
Complex 1 was synthesised by mixing Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$BF$_4$ or Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$PF$_6$ (as 0.1 M solutions in MeCN) with L$^1$, having a molar ligand : metal ratio equal to 2 : 1. Also in this case, the formation of 1 was confirmed by in-line $^1$H-NMR and MS, giving the same results for both metal precursors. Notably, complex 1 was only ever identified using in-line methods whilst under flow conditions and was not crystallised as an isolated product. This synthesis was thus repeated at different flow rates to see how this parameter affects the assembly of compound 1. Complexation occurred at all flow rates and we were able to observe the template effect of the metal-precursor for the ligand-synthesis and the complex itself. From the in-line $^1$H-NMR measurements, the formation of the complex 1 could be observed in the shift of the proton signals corresponding to the imine. The pyridine protons signal shifted from 8.76–8.39 and 8.18–7.19 ppm to 8.21–6.93 ppm; for the imine proton, new signals appeared at 8.78–8.39 ppm and the benzylic protons shifted from 4.82 to 4.81–4.56 ppm, which is higher field compared to the imine (Fig. S2 in ESI†). Similarly, complex 1 could also be detected by in-line MS ($m/z = 455.4$) (Fig. S3 in ESI†).

As such, this work has allowed us to probe the formation of a transient monomer complex (1), which serves as a common ‘building block’ precursor in the formation of two new multinuclear complexes. Crystallisation of the reaction mixtures containing 1 led to two different compounds according to the Cu precursor used, which result from the assembly of this monomeric sub-unit into different multinuclear complexes. Thus, the brownish-red solution corresponding to 1 obtained using Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$BF$_4$ crystallised to yield the dimeric structure 2 after 14 days at 7 °C whilst employing Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$PF$_6$ gives the trimeric product 3 after 4 days at 18 °C (see Fig. 3). Here, both complexes are found to contain Cu(n) as indicated by their green-blue colour (opposed to the red-brown colour of the non-oxidised reaction mixture) and their characterisation by X-ray diffraction, which clearly indicates that the tetrahedral Cu(n) centre in the precursor (1) has oxidised in air to Cu(n).

Notably, the in-line analyses, in particular NMR, only showed the formation of the sub-unit complex (1) under flow conditions. The sub-unit 1 thus assembles into dimeric (2) and a trimeric (3) structures through inclusion of a bridging carbonate moiety.¹⁵ Not only the spectroscopic (IR for complex 2 and Raman for complex 3), magnetic and analytical data is consistent with the assignment of carbonate (see ESI†), but also control experiments show that these structures can be easily reproduced by adding dry ice to the crystallisation solution.

It should be noted that adoption of the flow-platform reported here confers a significant advantage in both the ease with which 2 and 3 can be synthesised from the transient monomer 1 (i.e. no requirement for inert atmosphere) and the reproducibility of this approach, in which the major synthetic variables are closely controlled by automation. The ‘batch’ crystallisation process could be easily reproduced in terms of both yield and purity, as indicated by PXRD (Fig. 4).

The second screening consisted on the flow synthesis of 1$^2$ and its complexation with Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$BF$_4$ (Scheme 1). The formation of 1$^2$ was obtained in 4.5 minutes using both reactors (total reactor volume of 2.8 ml as the results of $V_{R1}$ + $V_{R2}$). For the formation of this tridentate ligand, 2-pyridinecarboxaldehyde was substituted with 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (as 0.5 M solutions in MeCN) and subsequently reacted with benzylamine, having a molar aldehyde : amine ratio of 2 : 1. This reaction step was monitored both by in-line NMR spectroscopy and ESI-MS that confirmed the formation of the imine. In the $^1$H-NMR two new signals appeared at 8.50 and 4.86 ppm and the signal corresponding to the aldehyde moiety disappeared at 10.08 ppm, which is indicative of imine formation (Fig. S11a in ESI†). Similarly, in-line MS showed the formation of 1$^2$ corresponding to the appearance of a signal with $m/z = 314.4$ (1$^2$ + H)$^+$ (Fig. S12a in ESI†).

The complexation of 1$^2$ with Cu(CH$_3$CN)$_4$BF$_4$ (as 0.1 M solutions in MeCN) was obtained using a molar ligand : salt ratio 1:1.

---

Fig. 2 Schematic of the real-time observation in the flow synthesis of L$^1$ at different residence times showing a comparison of the normalised $^1$H-NMR spectra collected during the in-line benchtop NMR study.

Fig. 3 Molecular structures of dimer complex 2 and trimer complex 3. A distorted carbonate ion templates the assembly of two or three monomer complexes into dimeric and trimeric structures, respectively.
equal to 2 : 1. As in the case of compound 1, this complexation yields a deep red-brown coloured solution, indicative of the formation of Cu(II) complexes. The complete synthesis could be monitored by in-line NMR and ESI-MS. The disappearance of the peak at 10.08 ppm corresponds to the aldehyde protons of 2,6-pyridinedicarboxaldehyde (see Fig. 5). Fig. 5A–D shows full conversion of the aldehyde into the di-imino ligand with the appearance of the imine signal at 8.50 ppm. In addition, a shift of the bands corresponding to the aromatic ring of the pyridine (from 8.17 to 7.98 ppm, in spectra A and C respectively) and the CH2 band from the benzylamine (from 3.86 to 4.86 ppm, in spectra B and C respectively) confirm the formation of $L^2$.

The addition of Cu(CH3CN)4BF4 had a significant effect in shifting the bands corresponding to the imine signal, the aromatic rings (from 8.50, 7.98 and 7.34 ppm to 8.30–7.86 and 7.41–6.88 ppm) and the CH2 bands (from 4.86 ppm to 4.57 ppm), which is clearly indicative of a change in the environment of the ligand due to complexation (confirmed by controls, see ESI†). Also, the in-line MS of the reaction solution showed three different signals; two with $m/z = 689.4$ and 344.8, and a main peak having $m/z = 376.2$ corresponding to the Cu(i) and Cu(II) analogue of compound 5 and directly to compound 4, respectively. Following this, from the reddish brown solution two species could be crystallised, which were subsequently analysed crystallographically (Fig. 6). The two copper complexes identified as $[Cu(L^2)_2]^+$ (5) and also $[Cu_2(L^2)_2]^{2+}$ (4) via the flow-MS measurements crystallise after several days upon which 5 oxidises to the analogous copper(II) complex (Fig. 6, left) whereas 4, remarkably, remains in the same oxidation state as detected in solution (Fig. 6, middle).

It is therefore important to note that under flow conditions, the main observed complexes are always Cu(i), due to the reddish-brownish colour observed and the representative signals in the in-line NMR. The subsequent oxidation occurs during the crystallisation process to form, in the case of 5, a chiral complex (space group $P2_12_12_1$). Interestingly, the binuclear Cu(i) complex (4) shows a remarkably short copper–copper distance (2.5864(5) Å), which is unusual, even in the well-studied area of Cu(n) Schiff-base complexes.17

**Conclusions**

In summary a new strategy for setting up non-equilibrium reaction conditions for both the synthesis of ligands, and their complexation, was showed in a computer controlled flow system. The use of in-line $^1$H-NMR and ESI-MS analysis enables the direct observation of reactions in real-time and allowed us to discover five new coordination complexes. It is important to note that no additional steps are taken to perform these reactions under anaerobic conditions and so
the flow reactor can be considered to provide an easily controllable pseudo-inert atmosphere for the manipulation of air sensitive reagents. We believe that platforms such as this will be increasingly important in the emerging field of systems chemistry, not to mention the digitalisation of chemistry for chemical discovery, synthesis, and reliable repetition. The platform allows the reaction steps to be handled in an automated way and it is possible to follow the reaction as it proceeds, permitting the discovery of compounds prior to their isolation. The significant advantage of this modular flow setup is therefore the ability to control self-assembly processes in situ by altering the reaction parameters in real-time (e.g. flow rate, temperatures, and reagents) and observe new compounds in-line which are critical to isolate in solid state.
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