Controlling Aggregation of Copper(II)-Based Coordination Compounds: From Mononuclear to Dinuclear, Tetranuclear, and Polymeric Copper Complexes
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The use of a strategy combining ligand design and changes of reaction conditions has been investigated with the goal of directing the assembly of mononuclear, dinuclear, tetranuclear, and polymeric copper(II) complexes. As a result, closely related copper monomers, alkoxo dimers, and hydroxo cubanes, along with a carbonate-bridged polymeric species, have been synthesized using the rigid, aliphatic amino ligands cis-3,5-diamino-trans-hydroxycyclohexane (DAHC), cis-3,5-diamino-trans-methoxycyclohexane (DAMC), and the glutaryl-linked derivative glutaric acid bis-(cis-3,5-diaminocyclohexyl) ester (GADACE). The composition of the monomeric complex has been determined by X-ray crystallography as \([\text{Cu(DAHC)}_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2\) (1), the two dimers as \([\text{Cu(DAHC)}(\text{OMe})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_2\) \(\cdot\) MeOH (2) and \([\text{Cu(DAMC)}(\text{OMe})(\text{ClO}_4)]_2\) (3), the three Cu₄O₄ cubanes as \([\text{Cu(DAHC)}(\text{OH})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_4\) \(\cdot\) 2.5MeOH (4), \([\text{Cu(DAMC)}(\text{OH})_2](\text{ClO}_4)_4\) \(\cdot\) H₂O (5), and \([\text{Cu}_2(\text{OH})_2(\text{GADACE})_2]\text{Cl}_4\) \(\cdot\) 2MeOH \(\cdot\) 6H₂O (6), and an infinite-chain structure as \([\text{Cu(DAHC)}(\text{CO}_3)]_n\) (7). Furthermore, the cubane structures 4 and 5 have been investigated magnetically. Our studies indicate that formation of the monomeric, dimeric, and tetranuclear DAHC and DAMC complexes can be controlled by small changes in reaction conditions and that further preorganization of the ligand moiety by linking the DAHC cores (GADACE) allows more effective direction of the self-assembly of the Cu₄O₄ cubane core.

Introduction

The controlled aggregation of small coordination-complex-based building blocks to form larger architectures is of great interest in both metal–ligand and polyoxometalate chemistry. In particular, the ability to use both ligand design and adjustment of reaction conditions to understand and control the aggregation processes is vital, since the combination of these approaches should give the best chance of designing and synthesizing sophisticated, potentially functional, complexes and clusters.¹–⁴
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While rational design strategies have enjoyed considerable success in controlling the structure of such compounds by employing large, rigid ligands and metal centers with well-defined coordination preferences, the results of using smaller ligands and metals offering multiple coordination modes (e.g., copper(II)) are less predictable. In this study, we have extended this work to the active site of the enzyme catechol oxidase. To complement the focus on the structure and conditions for their formation, the magnetic properties of the Cu₃O₃ cubanes have been investigated and seven new architectures are presented that span diverse architectures from monomeric units, [Cu(DAHC)₂]ClO₄ (1), to dimers, [[Cu(DAHC)-(OMe)]₂]ClO₄·MeOH (2) and [[Cu(DMAC)(OMe)(CIO₄)]₂] (3), to tetramers, [[Cu(DAHCh)(OH)]₄]ClO₄·2.5MeOH (4), [[Cu(DMAC)(OH)]₄]ClO₄·H₂O (5), and [[Cu(OH)₂(GADACE)]₂]Cl₂·2MeOH·6H₂O (6), and to the infinite-chain structure [[Cu(DAHC)(CO₃)]₄] (7).

Experimental Section

Materials, Methods, and Instrumentation. The ligands cis-3,5-diamino-trans-hydroxycyclohexane (DAHC), cis-3,5-diamino-trans-methoxyhexylocyclohexane (DMAC), and glutaric acid bis-(cis-3,5-diaminocyclohexyl) ester (GADACE) were synthesized starting from cis-1,3,5-cyclohexanetriol. All other reagents and solvents were bought commercially as AR grade (Aldrich/Lancaster) and used without further purification. Complexations were performed in the ambient atmosphere, using between 0.52 and 0.7 mol of copper(II) salt/mol of ligand chelating group. Larger amounts of metal resulted in rapid precipitation of unidentified amorphous products. Infrared spectra were measured with Jasco FTIR-410 and Bruker TENSOR 27 spectrometers, and X-ray diffraction data were collected using a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer with Mo Kα radiation and a graphite monochromator. Magnetic measurements were performed in the range of 2–290 K with a Quantum Design MPMS-5 SQUID magnetometer for a range of fields from 0.1 to 5.0 T.

Preparation of [Cu(DAHC)₂]ClO₄ (1) and [[Cu(DAHC)-(CO₃)]₄] (7). Aqueous NaClO₄ (2 M, 0.25 mL) was added to a solution of DAHC (0.0704 g, 0.541 mmol) in methanol (20 mL), lowering the pH to ~9.6. The addition of CuClO₄·6H₂O (0.105 g, 0.282 mmol) in ca. 5 mL of methanol resulted in a deep purple solution of pH ~7.3, which was stirred for 1 h before it was recovered as a red precipitate (0.0224 g, 0.0428 mmol, 15%). Reduction of the volume to 7.5 mL in vacuo, followed by removal of further precipitate and crystallization by evaporation and ether diffusion, yielded an inseparable mixture (0.028 g) of red crystals of 1, dark blue crystals of [Cu(DAHCh)(OMe)]₂]ClO₄·MeOH (2), and a few light blue crystals of [[Cu(DAHCh)(CO₃)]₄] (7). Analytical data for 1. IR (KBr disk, cm⁻¹): 3543 s, 3424 w, 3290 s, 3235 s, 2945 w, 2920 w, 2870 w, 1583 m, 1459 w, 1367 m, 1330 w, 1178 m, 1093 vs, 922 m, 688 w. Elemental analysis for C₆H₇Cl₂CuNa₂O₁₀, actual (expected): C, 27.41 (27.57); H, 5.40 (5.40); N, 10.57 (10.72).

Preparation of [Cu(DAHCh)(OMe)]₂]ClO₄·MeOH (2). A methanolic solution (5 mL) of CuClO₄·6H₂O (0.104 g, 0.280 mmol) was added to a solution of DAHC (0.0703 g, 0.539 mmol) in methanol (20 mL). A color change from the light blue of the copper(II) perchlorate to a clear deep blue was observed. After the mixture was stirred for 2.5 h, the volume was reduced to ca. 5 mL, at which point dark purple-black crystals started to form. After

filtration, the clear gray-blue solution was set to crystallize using evaporation or Et<sub>2</sub>O diffusion techniques. In each case, small dark purple-black cubic crystals of compound 2 (0.0497 g, 0.073 mmol, 52%) were formed overnight. Upon isolation and exposure to air, they rapidly changed color becoming a royal blue hydroxo compound with the composition C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. IR (crystal (25.58); H, 5.25 (5.37); N, 8.36 (8.52).

Preparation of [(Cu(DAMC)(OMe)(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> (3). To a solution of DAMC (0.050 g, 0.35 mmol) in methanol (20 mL) was added a methanolic solution (5 mL) of Cu(OMe)<sub>2</sub>:2H<sub>2</sub>O (0.091 g, 0.245 mmol). A color change from the light blue of the copper(II) perchlorate to a clear dark blue was observed. After the mixture was stirred for 30 min, the volume was reduced to ca. 15 mL; 3 precipitated as a dark purple crystalline powder (0.0215 g, 0.032 mmol, 26%) were formed overnight. Both crystals and powder rapidly changed color upon exposure to air, becoming a royal blue hydroxoc compound with the composition C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>. IR (hydroxo compound, KBr disk, cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3508 s, 3308 s, 3260 m, 2934 m, 1719 s, 1596 s, 1464 w, 1457 m, 1403 m, 1366 m, 1343 w, 1258 w, 1147 s, 1088 s, 1057 s, 1048 s, 918 w, 783 w. Elemental analysis for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>Cu<sub>2</sub>N<sub>4</sub>O<sub>12</sub>, actual (expected): C, 25.51 (25.93); H, 5.27 (5.29); N, 8.77 (9.03).

Single-Crystal Structure Determination. Suitable single crystals of 1–7 were mounted on the end of a thin glass fiber using Fomblin oil. X-ray diffraction intensity data were measured at 150 K on a Nonius Kappa-CCD diffractometer (λ(Mo Kα) = 0.7107 Å). Structure solution and refinement for 1-7 were carried out with SHELXS-97<sup>31</sup> and SHELXL-97<sup>32</sup> via WinGX<sup>33</sup>. Corrections for incident and diffracted beam absorption effects were applied using empirical<sup>34</sup> or numerical methods.<sup>35</sup> Compound 1 crystallized in the space group P1, compounds 2, 5, and 6 in C2/c, compounds 3 and 7 in P2<sub>1</sub>/c, and compound 4 in P2<sub>1</sub>/n, as determined by systematic absences in the intensity data, intensity statistics, and the successful solution and refinement of the structures. All structures were solved by a combination of direct methods and difference Fourier syntheses and refined against F<sup>2</sup> by the full-matrix least-squares technique. Crystal data, data collection parameters, and refinement statistics for 1–7 are listed in Table 4.

Results and Discussion

Ligand/Complex Design Overview. This study utilizes ligands that are based on a rigid 1,3,5-functionalized cyclohexane backbone containing a cis-1,3-diamino moiety. Our previous studies showed that when the trans moiety is an amino group, for the ligand cis,trans-1,3,5-triaminocyclohexane (trans-TACH), a great deal of versatility can result because the cis chelator can be used to coordinate to a metal ion and the trans group can be protonated and anchor the unit in a hydrogen-bonded network.<sup>36</sup> By changing the trans group to hydroxy, then methoxy, and finally by linking two cis-1,3-diamino-cyclohexanes via a 5-carbon glutaryl group, we are able to explore the effects of altering the hydrogen-bond donor/acceptor properties of the ligand and also increase its preorganization (Figure 1).

Monomer. The smallest building block in this study, the mononuclear complex anti-[Cu(DAHCh)<sub>2</sub>](ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub> (1), was isolated along with the copper methoxy dimer, [(Cu(DAHCh)-(OMe)<sub>2</sub>)]<sup>2+</sup>(ClO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>MeOH, 2, by adding a small amount of aqueous sodium perchlorate in conditions which otherwise led to exclusive crystallization of the dimer (see Figure 2).

It is thought that the increased ionic strength resulting from the addition of NaClO₄ encourages rapid crystallization of doubly charged compound 1, which is likely to be the first species formed in the complexation reaction. In the absence of NaClO₄, this is able to remain in solution and equilibrates forming 2.

The complex cation of 1 is identical to that previously reported in the copper fluoride complex anti-[Cu(DAHC)₂]²⁺·F₂·2H₂O. Around the copper(II) center the square planar coordination geometry is close to the C₄ᵥ ideal, with Cu–N bond lengths of 2.0221(15) and 2.0337(16) Å and N–Cu–N angles of 91.42(6)° and 88.58(6)°. In contrast to the fluoride complex, no water molecules are included in the crystal lattice because of the limited hydrogen bonding properties of the perchlorate counterions (Figure 3). The cations form layers connected by hydrogen bonds that run parallel to the crystallographic ab plane, where hydrogen bonds extend from the ligand alcohol and amine donor groups to the perchlorate oxygens. As a consequence of the absence of included solvent, this compound does not undergo the solvent-driven geometry reorganization reported for its fluoride analogue.¹⁷

C₅H₅O₂ Dimers. Complexation of DAHC and DAMC with copper(II) perchlorate in methanol, without addition of sodium perchlorate, resulted in exclusive formation of the copper methoxo dimers [{[Cu(DAHC)(OMe)₂](ClO₄)₂} and Cu(OMe)(ClO₄)₂ MeOH (2) and [{[Cu(DAMC)(OMe)(ClO₄)₂}] in moderate to high yields (Figure 4). Upon exposure to atmospheric moisture, both 2 and 3 quickly change color from a dark purple to royal blue, lose crystallinity, and rearrange to form copper-hydroxo structures which are believed to be the Cu₄O₄ cubanes 4 and 5. This occurs so rapidly that an infrared spectrum of 2 required the use of a diamond anvil setup with the material kept in a drop of mother liquor; as soon as this evaporated the color and spectrum would change. Elemental analysis, infrared spectra, and magnetic measurements on the isolated dry compounds were all identical to the cubanes 4 and 5.

In these compounds, the copper(II) centers are bridged by two μ₃-methoxo ligands to form a planar rhombic Cu₅O₂ dimer, with the remaining basal coordination sites on the square pyramidal copper centers occupied by the chelating amine ligands which are arranged anti to each other (Figure 4). Apical coordination is provided by solvent or counterions, again arranged anti to each other, at a considerably elongated Cu–O distance (Table 1). In compound 2, this site is occupied by a disordered methanol molecule shared with the copper of another dimer, whereas in 3, it is filled by a perchlorate counterion. Furthermore, in 3 the apical coordination distance is substantially longer, while a tighter Cu–O–Cu angle results in a shorter Cu–Cu separation. Both complexes show a certain amount of distortion from the ideal square pyramidal geometry with trigonality indices, τ, of 0.08 and 0.12, respectively.³⁷

Table 1. Summary of Distances, Angles, and Trigonality Indices (τ) for Complexes 2 and 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bond distances (Å)</th>
<th>metal–metal distances (Å)</th>
<th>angles (deg)/trigonality indices</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cu–O</td>
<td>Cu–O</td>
<td>Cu–N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.479(7)</td>
<td>1.929(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.535(2)</td>
<td>1.933(19)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. trans-TACH (top), DAHC, DAMC (middle), and GADACE (bottom) shown in their ring-flipped chelating conformations.

Figure 2. Representation of the complex cation anti-[Cu(DAHC)₂]²⁺ in I: C, gray; O, red; N, purple; Cu, copper; H, omitted.

Figure 3. Hydrogen-bonded layer in anti-[Cu(DAHC)₂](ClO₄)₂ (I), viewed along the crystallographic c axis: C, gray; O, red; N, blue; Cl, green; Cu, copper; H, omitted. Hydrogen bonds are shown as bright blue dotted lines between the donor/acceptor positions.

Figure 4. Dimers of the complex cation in [{[Cu(DAHC)(OMe)₂](ClO₄)₂} and [{[Cu(DAMC)(OMe)(ClO₄)₂}] in moderate to high yields (Figure 4). Upon exposure to atmospheric moisture, both 2 and 3 quickly change color from a dark purple to royal blue, lose crystallinity, and rearrange to form copper-hydroxo structures which are believed to be the Cu₄O₄ cubanes 4 and 5. This occurs so rapidly that an infrared spectrum of 2 required the use of a diamond anvil setup with the material kept in a drop of mother liquor; as soon as this evaporated the color and spectrum would change. Elemental analysis, infrared spectra, and magnetic measurements on the isolated dry compounds were all identical to the cubanes 4 and 5.

In these compounds, the copper(II) centers are bridged by two μ₃-methoxo ligands to form a planar rhombic Cu₅O₂ dimer, with the remaining basal coordination sites on the square pyramidal copper centers occupied by the chelating amine ligands which are arranged anti to each other (Figure 4). Apical coordination is provided by solvent or counterions, again arranged anti to each other, at a considerably elongated Cu–O distance (Table 1). In compound 2, this site is occupied by a disordered methanol molecule shared with the copper of another dimer, whereas in 3, it is filled by a perchlorate counterion. Furthermore, in 3 the apical coordination distance is substantially longer, while a tighter Cu–O–Cu angle results in a shorter Cu–Cu separation. Both complexes show a certain amount of distortion from the ideal square pyramidal geometry with trigonality indices, τ, of 0.08 and 0.12, respectively.³⁷

As expected, methylation of the ligand alcohol group results in a number of differences between the extended structures, most significantly inclusion of disordered solvent molecules in 2 which appear to provide a linkage via coordinate bonds between the complex cations. Furthermore, while 2 consists of chains of cations linked by these disordered methanol molecules and hydrogen bonds, 3 is built up of hydrogen-bonded layers (Figure 5). These differences may be explained by the presence of ligand alcohol groups which act as hydrogen-bond donors in compound 2; hydrogen bonds from these donors to the perchlorate counterions (donor–acceptor distance 2.873 Å) prevent their coordination to the copper centers in this structure, so that the apical copper coordination sites instead form weak interactions with the solvent. However, in 3 the perchlorate counterion coordinates to the dimer unit, subtly changing its shape and altering its packing in the crystal lattice.

**Cu₄O₄ Cubanes.** Further small changes to the reaction conditions led to aggregation of the dimer units into the cubane structures [{Cu(OH)(DAHC)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (4, left) and [{Cu(OH)(DAMC)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (5, right). Color codes as in Figure 3.

**Figure 5.** (left) Association of two [{Cu(DAHC)(OMe)}₄]⁺⁺ cations in the chains of 2 (the carbon of the disordered methanol ligand is circled in black). (right) A section of the hydrogen-bonded layer of 3 viewed along the crystallographic a axis. Color codes as in Figure 3.

**Figure 6.** Structure of the complex cations in [{Cu(DAHC)(OMe)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (4, left) and [{Cu(OH)(DAMC)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (5, right). Color codes as in Figure 3.

Cubane synthesis was best achieved by adding around 13 vol % water to the reaction mixture. While it is clear that addition of water to these methanol solutions favors hydroxo-bridged structures because of its lower pKₐ, this alone does not explain the formation of cubanes rather than dimers, given that hydroxo-bridged dimers are far more common than cubanes. Consequently, it is postulated that higher concentrations of water help prevent the weak apical coordination of anions or solvent through competing hydrogen bonding interactions. Without these ligands blocking the apical coordination sites, the association of dimers into cubanes becomes possible.

The Cu₂O₄ core of these structures has four μ₃-hydroxo bridges situated at alternate vertexes to four 5-coordinate copper(II) centers and can be divided into two Cu₂O₂ dimers: the intradimer Cu−O bond lengths (1.964(4)−1.997(6) Å) are considerably shorter than the equivalent interdimer distances (2.268(4)−2.328(4) Å). Around the Jahn–Teller-distorted square pyramidal Cu(II) ions (τ ranges from 0.04 to 0.17 in 4 and 0.08 to 0.09 in 5) two of the basal coordination sites are taken by the amines of a chelating DAHC or DAMC ligand, with the remainder occupied by μ₂-hydroxo bridges. Note that in both structures the ligands are arranged syn across each dimer subunit, in contrast to the anti orientation observed in the isolated copper methoxy dimers 2 and 3. Presumably, anti orientation of the ligands would obstruct aggregation to form the tetramer.

Despite their overall similarity, the two structures differ in terms of their coordination geometry and crystal packing. Notably, the DAHC cubane, 4, is substantially less regular than the DAMC analogue, 5. This asymmetry is reflected in the fact that all six faces of the cube have a different geometry as indicated by the Cu···Cu distances and Cu−O−Cu angles in Table 2. By contrast, the asymmetric unit of the more regular DAMC cubane, 5, consists of only a Cu₂O₂ dimer with two perchlorate counterions, allowing it to be considered as two identical but asymmetric dimers connected by Cu−O bonds. Consequently, this cubane has four, rather than six, different face geometries (Table 2).

As expected, variation of the trans-positioned “tail” group (methoxy or hydroxyl) also results in differences in the

| Table 2. Cu···Cu Distances (Å) and Cu−O−Cu Angles (deg) in the Cubanes [{Cu(OH)(DAHC)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (4) and [{Cu(OH)(DAMC)}₄][ClO₄]₄ (5) |
|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| type of face     | 4              | 5               |
|                  | Cu−Cu          | Cu−O−Cu         | Cu−Cu          | Cu−O−Cu         |
| intradimer       | 3.035(1)       | 100.42(16)      | 3.035(2)       | 99.4(3)         |
| interdimer       | 3.035(1)       | 100.64(17)      | 3.035(2)       | 99.4(3)         |
| interdimer       | 3.278(1)       | 98.61(15)       | 3.213(2)       | 95.9(3)         |
| interdimer       | 3.192(1)       | 96.25(15)       | 3.213(2)       | 95.9(3)         |
| interdimer       | 3.224(1)       | 97.13(16)       | 3.259(2)       | 97.4(3)         |
| interdimer       | 3.220(1)       | 98.55(15)       | 3.239(2)       | 97.4(3)         |

(38) A search of the Cambridge Structural Database (version 5.26, November 2004) revealed that out of 69 deposited Cu₄O₄ cubane motifs, only 3 were hydroxo bridged. A far larger number (124) of Cu₃(OH)₂ dimers were found.
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Figure 7. Hydrogen-bonded layers in 4 viewed along the crystallographic a axis (the layers are situated parallel to the ab plane). The picture is complicated by disorder in the counterions and solvent molecules. Color codes as in Figure 3.

Figure 8. Hydrogen-bonded chain in 5 viewed along the crystallographic a axis. Color codes as in Figure 3.

extended structures, which may to some extent cause the differences between the two complex cations. Contrary to the dimers, in the case of the cubanes, the crystals formed with DAHC (4) show a higher dimensionality hydrogen-bonded network (see Figure 7). The [{Cu(OH)(DAHC)}]_4^{4+}

complex cations are packed in hydrogen-bonded layers running parallel to the crystallographic ab plane, with hydrogen bonds mediated by counterions and methanol molecules (Figure 6). In 5 however, [{Cu(OH)(DAMC)}]_4^{4+}

complex cations and water molecules are packed in a one-dimensional hydrogen-bonded array running parallel to the crystallographic b axis (Figure 8). Note that, in contrast to the OH tail group in 4, the methoxy tail group in this structure neither accepts nor donates hydrogen bonds, reducing the amount of interaction between the complex and the network. This may explain the more symmetrical cubane core of 5: fewer interactions with the hydrogen-bonded network should reduce distortions in the complex.

Magnetic Properties. The magnetic susceptibility data of 4 and 5 (corrected for diamagnetic contributions) are shown in Figure 9 and, once rescaled to account for their greater molecular mass, are identical to the data obtained for 2 and 3 because of the rapid condensation of these dimers to cubanes (see above). Despite the similarity of the magnetically relevant Cu4O4 core structures in 4 and 5, the susceptibility curves are vastly different with 5 displaying stronger antiferromagnetic coupling than 4, which immediately illustrates the well-known sensitivity of the oxo-mediated CuII–CuII exchange on the exact Cu–O distances and the Cu–O–Cu bond angle.23–28 In both cases, strong overall antiferromagnetic exchange is observed, and even at room temperature, $\chi T$ is significantly below the expected spin-only value of 1.73 emu K mol$^{-1}$ for four uncorrelated, Jahn–Teller-distorted $s = \frac{1}{2}$ CuII centers (assuming an isotropic $g$ factor of $g_{\text{iso}} = 2.15$). We found that for 5 a fit to an isotropic Heisenberg model we had to break up the six exchange interactions per Cu4O4 cluster into four exchange constants, $J_1 - J_4$ (Figure 10), matching the four different Cu2O2 face geometries of the Cu4O4 cubane core (see above). This results in the following spin Hamiltonian:

$$H = J_1 (S_1 S_2 + S_3 S_4) + J_2 (S_1 S_4 + S_2 S_3) + J_3 S_1 S_3 + J_4 S_2 S_4$$

Here, the pair of oppositely arranged, strongly antiferromagnetic interactions $J_1$ between Cu1–Cu2 and Cu3–Cu4 correspond to the intradimer exchange of the condensed dimer halves ($J_1 = -217.0$ K for 5). To achieve a reasonable fit, weak and strong ferromagnetic exchange interactions between those halves have to be used for $J_2$, $J_3$, and $J_4$. For the DAMC derivative 5, the weaker ferromagnetic exchanges ($J_3 = 8.5$ K, $J_4 = 37.0$ K) can be rationalized by the presence of two faces of the Cu4O4 cube with two Cu–O–Cu angles

Figure 9. Temperature dependence of $\chi T$ for 4 (left) and 5 (right) at 0.1 T. Experimental data (corrected for diamagnetic contributions $\chi_{\text{dia}}(4) = -6.16 \times 10^{-3}$ emu mol$^{-1}$ and $\chi_{\text{dia}}(5) = -6.29 \times 10^{-3}$ emu mol$^{-1}$) are represented as gray squares, and the best fit for 5 for the Heisenberg models used (see text) is shown as a black curve. Differences at higher magnetic fields (up to 5.0 T) are minimal and indicate a presence of less than 0.005 detached CuII centers per formula unit that contribute to a minimal paramagnetic background. Neither 4 nor 5 display Curie–Weiss behavior.
Four Cu II centers, the lines represent the four different types of intracubane exchange ($J_1$, black lines; $J_2$, dashed red lines; $J_3$, dotted green line; $J_4$, dotted blue line). Note that the Cu–Cu interactions, Cu1–Cu2 and Cu3–Cu4, characterized by $J_1$, correspond to the hypothetical intradimer interactions.

Table 3. Cu...Cu Distances (Å) and Cu–O–Cu Angles (deg) in $\{[\text{Cu}_2\text{OH}_2\text{(GADACE)}]_2\text{Cl}_4\text{2MeOH6H}_2\text{O}\} (6)$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>type of face</th>
<th>$R_{\text{Cu-Cu}}$</th>
<th>$\Phi_{\text{Cu-O-Cu}}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>intradimer</td>
<td>3.0305(5)</td>
<td>99.88(9), 101.12(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>intradimer</td>
<td>3.0305(5)</td>
<td>99.88(9), 101.12(9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interdimer</td>
<td>3.2298(5)</td>
<td>96.04(8), 96.44(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interdimer</td>
<td>3.2298(5)</td>
<td>95.29(8), 96.44(8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>interdimer</td>
<td>3.2916(5)</td>
<td>97.89(8), 97.89(8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

of 97.4(3)$^\circ$ (which are close to 97.5$^\circ$, the empirical threshold value for antiferromagnetic coupling in oxo-bridged Cu2 dimers) and with longer Cu...Cu distances (3.239(2)/3.259- (2) Å, see Table 2). The stronger ferromagnetic coupling pair ($J_1 = 309.8$ K) is provided by another two faces with shorter Cu...Cu separations (3.213(2) Å) and smaller Cu–O–Cu angles (96.4(3)$^\circ$ and 95.9 (3)$^\circ$).

For the less symmetric DAHC derivative 4, a spin Hamiltonian would need to employ six exchange energies, $J_1$–$J_6$, to accommodate the different Cu–O–Cu geometries. However, as the temperature dependence of the susceptibility is the only data to which such a model can be fitted, isospectrality (i.e., several different sets of $J_1$–$J_6$ resulting in the same or virtually the same thermodynamic properties) prevents an unambiguous assignment of these exchange energies. Future work on a full quantitative analysis of the magnetic properties of 4 therefore requires additional experimental data (e.g., derived from the field dependence of the low-temperature (mK) magnetization or inelastic neutron scattering).

Facially Bridged Cubane: Complexation of GADACE with Copper(II) Chloride. Replacement of the DAHC or DAMC monochelator with GADACE, a ligand in which two DAHC groups are linked by esterification with the dicarboxylic glutaric acid, and complexation with copper(II) chloride also resulted in cubane formation. The formation of the cubane structure 6, rather than a dimer, is the result of the large amounts of water (around 20 vol %) added to dissolve the precipitate which formed upon addition of the metal salt to the reaction solution. Furthermore, the GADACE ligand may help direct cubane formation, by ensuring that the two DAHC cores of each dimer project in the same direction leaving one face unobstructed to allow aggregation, by simultaneously blocking the other face from coordination.

Table 4. Crystallographic Data for 1–7a

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1</th>
<th>2</th>
<th>3</th>
<th>4</th>
<th>5</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\text{empirical}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_2\text{H}_2\text{Cl}_2$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_3\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_2$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_4\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_2$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_5\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_4$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_6\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_4$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_7\text{H}_3\text{Cl}_4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{formula}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{10}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{11}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{12}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{13}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{14}$</td>
<td>$\text{Cu}_9\text{N}<em>8\text{O}</em>{15}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{Fw.} \text{ (g mol}^{-1}$)</td>
<td>522.82</td>
<td>680.47</td>
<td>676.48</td>
<td>1320.87</td>
<td>1514.88</td>
<td>1349.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\upsilon$ (Å)</td>
<td>6.9536(2)</td>
<td>23.3788(7)</td>
<td>11.3227(3)</td>
<td>10.6310(2)</td>
<td>24.1690(9)</td>
<td>24.1409(11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$ (Å)</td>
<td>105.394(2)</td>
<td>105.303(2)</td>
<td>110.665(3)</td>
<td>102.003(1)</td>
<td>111.001(2)</td>
<td>105.515(3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\beta$ (Å)</td>
<td>99.232(2)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\gamma$ (Å)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{space group}$</td>
<td>$P1$</td>
<td>$C_2/c$</td>
<td>$P_2_1/c$</td>
<td>$P_2_1/c$</td>
<td>$C_2/c$</td>
<td>$P_2_1/c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V$ (Å³)</td>
<td>481.17(3)</td>
<td>2596.11(14)</td>
<td>1332.92(10)</td>
<td>5236.71(17)</td>
<td>4877.8(3)</td>
<td>5613.4(4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Z$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\rho_{\text{calc}}$ (g cm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>1.864</td>
<td>1.741</td>
<td>1.686</td>
<td>1.675</td>
<td>1.790</td>
<td>1.596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu$ (mm$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>1.474</td>
<td>1.913</td>
<td>1.859</td>
<td>1.894</td>
<td>2.031</td>
<td>1.761</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$T$ (K)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
<td>150(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$F(000)$</td>
<td>6802</td>
<td>18.051</td>
<td>16.425</td>
<td>62.023</td>
<td>19.061</td>
<td>27.050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{no. observations}$</td>
<td>(1878)</td>
<td>(2558)</td>
<td>(2619)</td>
<td>(10.017)</td>
<td>(4186)</td>
<td>(4579)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\text{residuals}$: $R$</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.0563</td>
<td>0.0363</td>
<td>0.0621</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_w$</td>
<td>0.0598</td>
<td>0.1411</td>
<td>0.0728</td>
<td>0.1239</td>
<td>0.1917</td>
<td>0.081</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma$</td>
<td>0.0612</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
<td>0.0347</td>
<td>0.0833</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$ $R = \sum |F_o| - |F_c|/\sum |F_o|$. $R_w = (\sum w(F_o^2 - F_c^2)^2/\sum w(F_c^2))^1/2$. 

Figure 10. Scheme of the magnetic exchange interactions used in the Heisenberg models for both 4 and 5. The numbered spheres denote the four Cu$^{II}$ centers, the lines represent the four different types of intracubane exchange ($J_1$, black lines; $J_2$, dashed red lines; $J_3$, dotted green line; $J_4$, dotted blue line). Note that the Cu–Cu interactions, Cu1–Cu2 and Cu3–Cu4, characterized by $J_1$, correspond to the hypothetical intradimer interactions.

Figure 11. Views of the complex cation in $\{[\text{Cu}_2\text{OH}_2\text{(GADACE)}]_2\text{Cl}_4\text{2MeOH6H}_2\text{O}\} (6)$ chosen to best show the linking C3 unit connecting each of the diamino cores. Color codes as in Figure 3.
of solvent or counterions and providing structural support for two faces of the cube (Figure 11). This is reflected in the substantially higher yield (55%) obtained for this compound by comparison with the DAHC and DAMC structures (best value 29%).

The structure of 6 bears more resemblance to the DAMC cubane 5 than its DAHC analogue, 4, the asymmetric unit demonstrating that it is constructed from two identical but asymmetric Cu₂O₂ dimers connected by Cu–O bonds. As observed in both of the other cubanes, the structure can be divided into two dimers with short Cu–O bonds (1.964(2)–1.9859(6) Å), linked by longer Cu–O bonds (2.345(2)–2.389(4) Å) between the dimers. The distortion from ideal square pyramidal geometry at the copper centers is slightly lower than that observed in the other cubanes, with ω = 0.04 and 0.08 at the two crystallographically independent coppers. Like 5, the complex cation shows four different values for the six Cu⋯Cu separations, and the distribution of the bond angles indicates that there are consequently four different geometries for the six faces of the cube (Table 3). However, the intradimer Cu⋯Cu distances are shorter than in 5, and the corresponding Cu–O–Cu angles slightly wider. The interdimer Cu⋯Cu distances are longer, and the Cu–O–Cu angles are tighter. Although magnetic data were not collected for 6, broadly similar behavior to 5 is expected but with both the ferromagnetic and antiferromagnetic interactions likely to be stronger.²³,²⁷

Large amounts of solvent are included in the crystal structure, resulting in an intriguing zero-dimensional hydrogen-bonded network which does not connect the complex cations in the solid state (Figure 12). Instead, a series of independent, “miniature” hydrogen-bonded networks pack in interpenetrating lines parallel to the crystallographic c axis. Each “mini-network” contains the [(Cu(OH)₂(GADACE))₂][Cl₂MeOH·6H₂O, 6, Color codes as in Figure 3.

Figure 12. Packing of hydrogen-bonded subunits parallel to the crystallographic c axis (viewed from the a axis) in [(Cu(OH)₂(GADACE))₂][Cl₂MeOH·6H₂O, 6. Color codes as in Figure 3.

network may correlate with the more highly symmetric nature of the cubane. Polymeric Structure. Also isolated in small quantities from the same reaction mixture as 1 were bright blue crystals of the carbonato-bridged coordination polymer [(Cu(DAHC)(CO₃))ₙ] (7), which forms in the presence of atmospheric carbon dioxide (Figure 13). The carbonato ligands chelate to one copper center, while one of the chelating oxygens acts as a μ₂ bridge to the next copper in the chain. At the copper(II) centers, a distorted square pyramidal geometry (τ = 0.19) is observed, with basal coordination provided by one chelating DAHC molecule and one chelating μ₂-carbonato ligand. The basal bond lengths average 1.967(2) Å to nitrogen and 1.984(2) and 1.993(2) Å to oxygen, with substantially weaker coordination implied by a longer apical Cu–O bond length of 2.445(2) Å.

Figure 13. The [(Cu(DAHC)(CO₃))ₙ] polymer, 7: (top) assembly of [Cu(DAHC)(CO₃)] units into a chain and (bottom) the chiral copper(II) center in 7.

Figure 14. Association of the P- and M-enantiomers of [Cu(DAHC)(CO₃)]ₙ, 7, to form a hydrogen-bonded layer. Cu and μ-O are blue (P) or green (M); other color codes as in Figure 3.
The extended crystal structure shows that the carbonato-bridged chains propagate as both left (anticlockwise, M-enantiomer) and right-handed (clockwise, P-enantiomer) helices parallel to the crystallographic \( b \) axis (Figures 13 and 14). The presence of both enantiomers within the same crystal is reflected in the centrosymmetric space group \( (P2_1/c) \), while the chirality within the chains occurs when \([\text{Cu-(DAHC)(CO}_3]\) monomers condense through the formation of bridges between acetate oxygens and vacant apical copper coordination sites.

Intramolecular hydrogen bonds donated by DAHC amine groups to carbonato oxygens and the oxygens of other DAHC ligands help stabilize the 1D coordination polymer, while the chains are linked by hydrogen bonds donated from the DAHC alcohol groups to the carbonato ligands of neighboring chains (Figure 14). This results in the connection of the coordination chains into hydrogen-bonded layers which extend parallel to the \( bc \) plane.

**Summary**

One mononuclear complex, two new copper-alkoxo dimers, three new copper-hydroxo cubanes, and a carbonato-bridged infinite-chain coordination complex have been synthesized using the ligand DAHC and its derivatives DAMC and GADACE. With the smaller ligands, DAHC and DAMC, the type of resulting complex cation structure (monomer, dimer, cubane, or polymer) appears to be largely controlled by the solvent mixture and ionic strength employed, while varying the ligand causes subtle adjustments in the geometry of the coordination compounds (Figure 15). This solvent control is to be expected because of the similarity of the ligands, and it requires only relatively small changes in the solvent mixture to be effective, with the delicate balance being emphasized by the facile conversion of the copper-methoxo dimers to copper-hydroxo cubanes in the solid state. It can be explained by the involvement of secondary noncovalent interactions, most particularly hydrogen bonding, which compete with and influence coordinative bonding. For example, increasing the concentration of water encourages the formation of cubanes, rather than dimers, as coordinated counterions or solvent molecules which otherwise may block aggregation become “trapped” by hydrogen-bonding interactions with the added water. The nature of the counterion, in this case perchlorate, may be quite important to this behavior: since perchlorate coordinates only very weakly, hydrogen bonding can offer more effective competition than...
it might for a more strongly coordinating anion. On the other hand, changing the ligand has more subtle, but potentially significant, effects. The use of DAHC, with an alcohol group, rather than DAMC, with a methoxy group, helps prevent coordination of the perchlorate anion in dimers as the alcohol is able to replace a coordinate bond to copper with a hydrogen bond. In cubanes, this results in a less symmetric structure as the hydrogen-bond donor provides a “handle” allowing the network to exert distorting forces on the complex cation. Changing the ligand also influences the dimensionality and construction of the hydrogen-bonded networks that build up the crystal. In the case of GADACE, the increased preorganization of the ligand has been shown to allow a more facile route to the formation of the cubane clusters producing correspondingly higher yields.

Conclusions

The three cubanes and two dimers presented demonstrate the possibilities of ligand design, combined with variation of reaction conditions, for the control of structure in the complex cations of multinuclear coordination compounds. Furthermore, such an approach may allow fine-tuning of coordination bond angles and adjustment of interactions between complex cations in the solid state, in a way which is likely to influence the magnetic and reactive properties of the compounds. It is clear from this study that, with the smaller ligands, the solvent system and reaction conditions used have a more significant impact in controlling complex shape than the ligand, while their dinucleating analogues have stronger directing effects, a common observation in coordination chemistry. However, it is also clear that study of a number of related complexes, as detailed here, can allow a certain level of understanding of how secondary noncovalent interactions, here, hydrogen bonding, help direct the structure of multinuclear coordination compounds. In the context of our work, apparent directing effects are the competition between weak coordination of anions in the apical position and hydrogen bonding to these anions by water, in a way that can allow some control over complex nuclearity. Further studies of this nature may therefore promote a more rational approach in this field and will also involve developing the coordination chemistry of the GADACE ligand, which remains relatively unexplored. This ligand provides a particularly interesting opportunity since it may be able to influence the structure of the complex cation by taking different conformations. While the magnetic and catalytic properties of copper oxo dimers and cubanes are well-known, it is also anticipated that the extension of this approach to other, most particularly higher-spin, metal centers may produce interesting new materials.
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