

Supramolecular Chemistry

Directed Self-Assembly, Symmetry Breaking, and Electronic Modulation of Metal Oxide Clusters by Pyramidal Heteroanions

Harikleia Sartzi, De-Liang Long, Stephen Sproules,* Leroy Cronin,* and Haralampos N. Miras*^[a]

Abstract: Mixed valence/metal polyoxometalate (POM) clusters are one of the most interesting host species because they show the ability to incorporate a wide range of heteroatoms of various charges and geometries. We report herein the incorporation of pyramidal EO_3^{2-} heteroanions ($E = \text{PH}, \text{S}, \text{Se}, \text{Te}$) that are responsible not only for directing the templated assembly of a family of mixed-metal POMs but also for the symmetry-breaking of the traditional Dawson architecture and modulation of the electronic characteristics of the cluster's shell. The isolated family of POMs consists of four members: $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_5\text{Na}_2[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_7\text{O}_{52}(\text{HPO}_3)] \cdot \text{MeOH} \cdot 5 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (1), $(\text{NH}_4)_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_7\text{O}_{52}(\text{SO}_3)] \cdot 12 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2), $\text{K}_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_7\text{O}_{52}(\text{SeO}_3)]$

$\cdot 31 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (3), and $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_6\text{Na}[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_7\text{O}_{52}(\text{TeO}_3)] \cdot 15 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ (4), and were characterized by X-ray structural analysis, electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), UV/Vis, FTIR, elemental analysis, flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS), and inductively coupled plasma optical emission spectroscopy (ICP-OES). Cyclic voltammetry (CV) and electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) spectroscopic studies in concert with density functional theoretical (DFT) calculations have been used to elucidate the effect of the heteroatom on the electronic properties of the cluster.

Introduction

Polyoxometalates (POMs) have attracted the attention of many research groups during the last 25 years because of their remarkable structural motifs, electronic properties^[1] and also the potential application in scientific fields such as energy storage,^[2] magnetism,^[3] catalysis,^[4] and medicine.^[5] POMs are constructed by W, Mo, V, or Nb based metal centers in high oxidation states and synthesized in a "one-pot" process. The associated self-assembly involves the condensation of MO_x units to a final composition and topology that is dictated by a long list of experimental variables, such as pH, ionic strength, counter ions, and temperature. Alternatively, heteropolyoxometalates, such as the widely investigated Wells–Dawson type $[\text{M}_{18}\text{O}_{54}(\text{EO}_4)_2]^{2-}$ clusters, are mainly templated by tetrahedral heteroanions such as phosphates, sulfates or arsenates ($E = \text{P}, \text{S}, \text{As}$).^[6] In addition there are several examples that incorporate heteroanions in unusual geometries, such as the 18-molybdopyrophosphate anion, $[\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{54}(\text{P}_2\text{O}_7)]^{4-}$, templated by a di-tetrahedral anion with redox inert P^{V} centers,^[7] and the

$[\text{H}_3\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{56}(\text{EO}_6)]^{6-}$ anion, which consists of a Dawson-type $\{\text{W}_{18}\text{O}_{54}\}$ shell enclosing an octahedral ($E = \text{Te}, \text{I}$) moiety,^[8,9] which promotes the template-assisted assembly of the cluster and induces catalytic functionality. Heteropolyoxometalates incorporating one or two templating heteroatoms which adopt non-tetrahedral geometries are exceedingly rare. More specifically, the first examples of clusters incorporating pyramidal heteroanions are Mo^{V} - and V^{V} -based sulfite species, such as $(\text{NH}_4)_{20}[\text{Mo}_{12}\text{O}_{24}(\text{SO}_3)_{16}] \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ and $(\text{NH}_4)_{15}[\text{Na}[\text{Mo}_6\text{O}_{15}(\text{SO}_3)_4]_2] \cdot 15 \text{H}_2\text{O}$,^[10] the two-electron reduced Dawson anion $(\text{HOCH}_2\text{CH}_2)_6[\text{Mo}_{18}\text{O}_{54}(\text{SO}_3)_2] \cdot 4 \text{H}_2\text{O}$ with two templating sulfite (SO_3^{2-}) ions,^[11] and the $[(\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{O})_6(\mu_4\text{-O})_2(\mu_3\text{-OH})_2(\mu_3\text{-SO}_3)_4(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2-}$ family.^[12] The molybdenum-based moieties combined with the ability of the vanadium metal centers to adopt various oxidation states ($\text{V}^{\text{III}}, \text{V}^{\text{IV}}, \text{V}^{\text{V}}$) and coordination modes led to a remarkable structural diversity.^[13–16]

The development of molecular metal oxide clusters offers a unique opportunity to generate complex building block libraries because of the stabilization of wide range of configurable subunits with different properties and organize them further into highly modular architectures. For example, the ability to construct core–shell molecular clusters allowed the control of compartmentalized reactions,^[17] exploration of electron coupled structural reorganizations,^[18] new type of oscillatory phenomena^[19] as well as the development of nanocluster-based information storage devices.^[20]

As has been described in previous reports, tungstate-based POM clusters incorporating non-classical heteroanions are less common because of the stability of their lacunary species.^[9,17,21] On the other hand, more common mixed valence

[a] H. Sartzi, Dr. D.-L. Long, Dr. S. Sproules, Prof. L. Cronin, Dr. H. N. Miras WestCHEM, School of Chemistry, University of Glasgow University Avenue, Glasgow G12 8QQ (UK)
E-mail: stephen.sproules@glasgow.ac.uk
lee.cronin@glasgow.ac.uk
charalampos.moiras@glasgow.ac.uk

Supporting Information (including additional experimental characterization, IR, CV, EPR and electronic spectra, TGA profiles, crystallographic bond distances and angles, optimized coordinates, Mulliken spin density plots) and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under <https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.201705711>.

molybdenum/vanadium-based POMs templated by non-traditional heteroanions have been reported.^[13–16] Thus, the rich redox chemistry of Mo and V based species coupled with the redox behavior of the EO_3^{2-} anions offer a unique opportunity for the design of highly modular molecular species with desirable functionality.

Herein, we present the synthesis and characterization of two new mixed-metal (Mo/V) egg-shaped POMs: $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_5\text{Na}_2[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{HPO}_3)]\cdot\text{MeOH}\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**) and $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_6\text{Na}[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{TeO}_3)]\cdot 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**4**), encapsulating pyramidal heteroatoms, namely phosphite (HPO_3^{2-}) and tellurite (TeO_3^{2-}), respectively. The compounds were characterized in the solid state by X-ray analysis, TGA, FTIR, as well as in solution by electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS), UV/Vis spectroscopy and cyclic voltammetry. These clusters are the newest additions to a family of Dawson-like POMs that began with the sulfite analogue, $(\text{NH}_4)_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SO}_3)]\cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**),^[13] and subsequently the selenite variant, $\text{K}_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SeO}_3)]\cdot 31\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**).^[16] This detailed examination of this series reveals the nuanced modulation of the electronic properties of the cluster by the encapsulated heteroanion.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of complexes

The compounds $(\text{NH}_4)_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SO}_3)]\cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**) and $\text{K}_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{V}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SeO}_3)]\cdot 31\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**) were prepared according to previously published procedures (Scheme 1).^[13,16] The chemicals and solvents were purchased from Sigma–Aldrich and Alfa Aesar and used without further purification.


(Me₂NH₂)₅Na₂[Mo₁₁V₅V^{IV}V₂O₅₂(HPO₃)]·MeOH·5H₂O (1**):** A mixture of $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.71 g, 2.93 mmol), $\text{VO}_3^{2-}\cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.16 g, 0.98 mmol), $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_3\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (0.11 g, 0.51 mmol), and $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}\cdot\text{HCl}$ (0.25 g, 3.06 mmol) were dissolved in a warm mixture of deionized water (10 mL) and methanol (5 mL) under vigorous stirring, resulting in a dark-purple solution. The mixture was left to cool to RT under magnetic stirring and then the pH was adjusted carefully to 2.9 by the addition of concentrated HCl. At this point the solution turned dark-green, and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 5 min. The dark-green solution was filtered and the filtrate was left for 7 d after which dark-green needles were collected by vacuum filtration and dried in air. Yield: 50 mg (30% based on V); elemental analysis calcd (%) for $\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_{55}\text{Mo}_{11}\text{N}_5\text{O}_{61}\text{PNa}_2\text{V}_7$: C, 4.85; H,

2.04; Mo, 38.77; N, 2.57; P, 1.14; Na, 1.69; V, 13.10. Found: C, 4.87; H, 2.85; Mo, 38.30; N, 2.42; P, 1.17; Na, 1.70; V, 13.40. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3451$ (b), 3131 (b), 1618 (m), 1463 (s), 1058 (m), 951 (s), 853 (s), 805 (s), 774 (m), 583 cm^{-1} (s).

(Me₂NH₂)₆Na[Mo₁₁V₅V^{IV}V₂O₅₂(TeO₃)]·15H₂O (4**):** To a beaker charged with deionized water (20 mL) were added $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4\cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (2.00 g, 8.27 mmol), NaVO_3 (0.52 g, 4.26 mmol), Na_2TeO_3 (0.47 g, 2.12 mmol), and $\text{Me}_2\text{NH}\cdot\text{HCl}$ (2.00 g, 24.5 mmol), and the mixture was vigorously stirred. Heating this turbid reaction mixture at 90 °C for 10 min gave a pale-yellow solution. After cooling to RT, $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4\cdot 2\text{HCl}$ (0.07 g, 0.67 mmol) was added in a single portion producing a dark-brown slurry. The mixture was stirred for 5 min before adjusting the pH to a value of 2.5 by addition of concentrated HCl. The resultant deep-purple mixture was filtered, and the filtrate was layered with MeOH. Diffraction-quality single crystals were obtained after one week. Yield: 34 mg (7% based on V). elemental analysis calcd (%) for $\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_{78}\text{Mo}_{11}\text{N}_6\text{O}_{70}\text{NaTeV}_7$: C, 4.82; H, 2.63; Mo, 35.31; N, 2.81; Na, 0.77; Te, 4.27; V, 11.93. Found: C, 5.00; H, 2.91; Mo, 34.68; N, 2.69; Na, 0.79; Te, 4.19; V, 11.72. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3433$ (b), 3168 (b), 1626 (m), 1464 (s), 1015 (m), 983 (s), 847 (s), 773 (m), 669 (m), 518 cm^{-1} (m).

X-ray crystallographic data collection and refinement of **1** and **4**

A suitable single crystal was selected and mounted onto a rubber loop using Fomblin oil. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction data of **1** and **4** were recorded with a Bruker Apex CCD diffractometer (λ ($\text{MoK}\alpha$) = 0.71073 Å) at 150 K equipped with a graphite monochromator. Structure solution and refinement were carried out with SHELXS-97^[22] and SHELXL-97^[23] using the WinGX software package.^[24] Data collection and reduction were performed using the Apex2 software package. Corrections for incident and diffracted beam absorption effects were applied using empirical absorption corrections.^[25] All the Mo and V atoms (including those disordered) and most of the O atoms were refined anisotropically. Solvent water molecule sites with partial occupancy were found and included in the refinement of the structure. Crystallographic formulae typically contain a lot more water molecules in the crystal lattice than the formulae used for chemical analyses because samples were dried. Final unit cell data and refinement statistics for compounds **1** and **4** are collated in Table S1. CCDC 1568505 (**1**) and 1568506 (**4**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.



Scheme 1. Synthetic procedure for 1–4.

Physical measurements

IR spectra were measured with JASCO FTIR 410 and Shimadzu FTIR 8400S spectrometers. UV/Vis spectra were obtained with a JASCO V-670 spectrophotometer. Thermogravimetric analysis was performed with a TA Instruments Q 500 thermogravimetric analyzer under nitrogen flow at a typical heating rate of $10^{\circ}\text{Cmin}^{-1}$ in a platinum pan. Cyclic voltammetry (CV) was performed with a CHI 760D bi-potentiostat. The standard three-electrode arrangement was employed with a Pt mesh as auxiliary electrode, glassy carbon working electrode, and Ag/AgCl reference electrode. All potentials are quoted relative to the Ag/AgCl reference electrode. The glassy carbon working electrodes (diameter 1.5 mm) were polished with alumina (3 μm) on polishing pads, rinsed with distilled water, and sonicated in H_2O and then acetone before each experiment. The cell was purged with Ar for 10 min before each experiment. All experiments were performed at RT, and a scan rate of $50\text{--}400\text{ mV s}^{-1}$. The supporting electrolyte was 0.1 M HOAc/NaOAc buffer solution (2.4 mL of 0.1 M NaOAc solution and 7.6 mL of a 0.1 M HOAc solution) containing 0.2 M (284 mg) Na_2SO_4 . Finally 80 mg of each compound added to the above solution. Electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) was performed with a Bruker micrOTOF-Q quadrupole time-of-flight mass spectrometer. Samples were dissolved in water and acetonitrile and introduced into the mass spectrometer at a dry gas temperature of 180°C . The ion polarity for all scans recorded was negative, with the voltage of the capillary tip set at 4500 V, end plate offset at -500 V , funnel 1 RF at 400 Vpp and funnel 2 RF at 400 Vpp, hexapole RF at 200 Vpp, ion energy 5.0 eV, collision energy at 15 eV, collision cell RF at 2100 Vpp, transfer time at 120.0 μs , and the pre-pulse storage time at 20.0 μs and analyzed using the Bruker Daltonics v4.1 software. ^1H NMR spectra were recorded in $[\text{D}_6]\text{DMSO}$ solutions with a Bruker AVI 400 MHz NMR spectrometer. X-band EPR spectra were recorded with a Bruker ELEXSYS E500 spectrometer and simulations were performed using Easyspin.^[26] Elemental analyses were determined by the in-house microanalysis services using an EA 1110 CHNS, CE-440 Elemental Analyzer. Flame atomic absorption spectroscopy (FAAS) analysis was performed with a PerkinElmer 1100B atomic absorption spectrophotometer and ICP-OES was performed using an Agilent 5100.

Calculations

All calculations in this work were performed with the electronic structure program ORCA.^[27] Geometry optimizations and numerical frequencies were carried out using the BP86 functional.^[28] A segmented all-electron relativistically contracted (SARC) basis set of triple- ζ -quality (def2-TZVP) was used for metal atoms.^[29] Core electrons were kept frozen and described by single Slater functions (core-shells: O, 1s; P, S and V, 1s2p; Se, 1s3p; Mo, 1s3d; Te 1s4p). A scalar relativistic correction was applied using the zeroth-order regular approximation (ZORA) method.^[30] An all-electron polarized triple- ζ -quality (def2-TZVP) basis set of the Ahlrichs' group was used for the other atoms.^[31] Auxiliary basis sets for all complexes used to expand the electron density in the calculations were chosen to match the orbital basis. The conductor like screening model (COSMO)^[32] was used for all calculations to account for solvent effects (water, $\epsilon=80.4$) which was used in electrochemical measurements. The solvent cavity surrounding each cluster was generated with a solvent-excluding surface based on the ionic radii of the surface atoms defined as 0.68 Å for vanadium, 0.72 Å for molybdenum and 1.52 Å for oxygen. A spin-unrestricted formalism was applied to all species. The self-consistent field (SCF) calculations were tightly converged ($1 \times 10^{-8} E_h$ in energy, $1 \times 10^{-7} E_h$ in

the density change, and 1×10^{-7} in the maximum element of the DIIS^[33] error vector). The geometry search for all complexes was carried out in redundant internal coordinates without imposing geometry constraints. Canonical orbitals and spin density plots were constructed using the program Molekel.^[34]

Results and Discussion

Synthesis

Compounds **2** and **3** were synthesized according to the previously reported methodology.^[13,16] The general synthetic procedure for the preparation of these clusters involves the combination of the appropriate vanadate and molybdate salts with the heteroatom oxyanion (Figure 1). More specifically, deep-




Figure 1. Ball and stick representation of the $[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}\text{O}_{52}(\text{EO}_3)]^{7-}$ clusters. In space-filling representation the four templating heteroanions EO_3 ($\text{E}=\text{PH}, \text{S}, \text{Se}, \text{Te}$) that have been trapped within the POM. Color code: Mo: dark-blue, V: yellow, O: red, E: light-blue (space filling representation).

green crystals of **2** were retrieved from the reaction mixture prepared by the sequential addition of NH_4VO_3 and $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{SO}_3$ to an acidified aqueous solution containing $(\text{NH}_4)_6\text{Mo}_7\text{O}_{24} \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$. In a similar fashion, the addition of KVO_3 , K_2SeO_3 , and $\text{N}_2\text{H}_4 \cdot 2\text{HCl}$ to an aqueous solution of K_2MoO_4 , yielded a dark-brown solution. Acidification of the reaction mixture to pH 3 using concentrated HCl led to the formation of brown hexagonal crystals of **3** within a week. In an extension of this reported work, we succeeded in completing the series of metal oxide clusters templated by EO_3^{2-} anions by isolating two isostructural Dawson-like structures containing phosphite (HPO_3^{2-}) and tellurite (TeO_3^{2-}) anions. Both compounds were synthesized under "one-pot" reaction conditions. Compound **1** was prepared by the sequential addition of $\text{Na}_2\text{MoO}_4 \cdot 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{VOSO}_4 \cdot x\text{H}_2\text{O}$, $\text{Na}_2\text{HPO}_3 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$, and dimethylamine hydrochloride to a warm solvent mixture of H_2O and MeOH. The dark-purple reaction mixture was left to cool to room temperature. Then the pH was adjusted to 2.9 by dropwise addition of concentrated HCl, resulting in a dark-green solution, which was left undisturbed for a week. During this time dark-green needles of $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH})_5\text{Na}_2[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}_7\text{O}_{52}(\text{HPO}_3)]$

·MeOH·5H₂O were formed. Compound **1** can be synthesized within the pH range of 2.5–3.5, although the highest yield and purity were obtained at the pH range 2.8–3.0. Compound **4** was synthesized by the addition of Na₂MoO₄·2H₂O, NaVO₃, Na₂TeO₃ and dimethylamine hydrochloride in aqueous medium. Given the limited solubility of the vanadate salt in water, the obtained cloudy yellow colored mixture was heated at 90 °C, resulting in a clear yellow solution. The reaction mixture was left to cool to room temperature under magnetic stirring, followed by the addition of solid N₂H₄·2HCl, triggering a color change of the reaction mixture to dark-green. The pH of the reaction mixture was adjusted to 2.5 by dropwise addition of concentrated HCl, giving finally a dark-purple solution. Vapor diffusion of MeOH into the reaction mixture led to the formation of dark-green needles of **4** after one week.

It is worth noting a few important synthetic considerations that are crucial for the formation of these POMs. The synthesis of **2** and **3** involved the use of potassium- and ammonium-based salts followed by reduction of the V^V species in situ, respectively. Attempts to isolate **2** and **3** using sodium counterions were unsuccessful, indicating that counterion effects are crucial for the stabilization of M/E-based (M = Mo, V; E = PH, S, Se, Te) building blocks, which assemble into these egg-shaped clusters. Moreover, the synthesis of **1** involved the use of a reduced vanadium source (V^{IV}OSO₄·xH₂O) from the outset. Efforts to isolate **1** by partially reducing the V^V starting material in situ were not successful, leading to the formation of the common α-Keggin architecture templated by the VO₄ species. In contrast, the use of vanadyl sulfate in the synthesis of **4** led to the formation of the previously reported “crowned” Dawson-like structure, K₁₀[Mo^{VI}₁₁V^V₅V^{IV}₂O₅₂(Te^{VI}O₃)(Mo^{VI}₆V^VO₂₂)]·15 H₂O,^[15] even after adjustment of the experimental conditions to a wide range of temperature, concentrations, and pH values. Finally, the use of mixed solvent system (H₂O/MeOH) either during the reaction or during the crystallization is crucial for the formation of **1** and **4**. In the absence of methanol, the existing equilibria shifted towards the formation of previously reported species, such as α-Keggin and α-Dawson clusters. All the above observations demonstrate the existence of a complex network of equilibria between diverse building block libraries in the reaction mixtures. The pyramidal geometry of the heteroanion promotes the formation of diverse building blocks while their assembly can be additionally influenced by the lone pair of electrons and the atomic radius of the heteroanion in contrast to the traditional Mo / V archetypes previously reported.^[35]

Structural description of the compounds

X-ray diffraction analyses revealed four isostructural Dawson-like mixed-metal (MoV⁻) POMs of the general formula [Mo^{VI}₁₁V^V₅V^{IV}₂O₅₂(EO₃)]⁷⁻, where E = PH **1**; S **2**; Se **3**; Te **4** (Figure 1). Each cluster consists of two hemispheres; the upper part is formed by three edge-sharing MoO₆ octahedra connected to the upper belt of the egg-shaped cluster through three VO₄ tetrahedra. The remaining four vanadium (two V^V and two V^{IV}) and five Mo^{VI} centers are crystallographically disordered over the nine MO₆ octahedral positions of the lower hemi-

sphere. According to bond valence sum (BVS), calculations on the upper hemisphere showed that the molybdenum centers are in the oxidation state +VI (BVS_{av} = 6.05 **1** and 6.01 **4**) whereas the vanadium centers are in the oxidation state +V (BVS_{av} = 5.09 **1** and 5.04 **4**). The oxidation state for the remaining four vanadium ions in the lower hemisphere cannot be assigned. The identification of the oxidation states of the remaining vanadium centers was made on the basis of charge balance considerations of the entire compound, combined with BVS calculations^[36] and elemental analyses, yielding an average BVS of 4.5, which is in good agreement with the theoretical experimental value (BVS_{av} = 4.4) for two electrons shared between four positions. Delocalization of the two electrons within the lower hemisphere of the structure gives these clusters their dark-green hue in the solution and solid state.

Finally, the cavity of the lower hemisphere is occupied by a HPO₃²⁻ in **1** and TeO₃²⁻ in **4**, in which the P and the Te atoms are in oxidation states +III and +IV, respectively. The tetrahedral VO₄ centers located on the upper hemisphere of **1** are coordinated by three μ₃-O²⁻ moieties, with the V–O bonds in the range of 1.716(4)–1.768(4) Å and one terminal oxo group with a V–O bond in the range of 1.614(4)–1.627(5) Å. The Mo atoms in the same hemisphere exhibit two terminal oxo groups in *cis* position, with the terminal Mo–O group bond lengths in the range of 1.698(4)–1.718(4) Å, one μ-O²⁻ and three μ₃-O²⁻ bridges with Mo–O bonds ranging 1.855(4)–1.884(4) Å and 2.048(4)–2.267(4) Å, respectively. Finally, in the case of **1**, a MeOH molecule found in the unit cell coordinated to a sodium counterion as a result of the crystallization method using a solvent mixture of H₂O/MeOH. Tellurite-containing **4** adopts a Dawson-like disordered architecture similar to that observed in the case of the sulfite- and selenite-templated clusters,^[13,16] with the formula [Mo^{VI}₁₁V^V₅V^{IV}₂O₅₂(TeO₃)]⁷⁻ **4**, where its cavity is occupied by a tellurite ion. The main bond lengths in this case fall within a similar range; the tetrahedral VO₄ centers are coordinated by three μ₃-O²⁻ moieties, with the V–O bonds in the range of 1.727(9)–1.777(6) Å and one terminal oxo bond distance ranging from 1.60(1) to 1.615(7) Å. The Mo atoms in the same hemisphere possess two terminal oxo groups in the *cis* position, with the terminal Mo–O group bond lengths in the range of 1.697(7) to 1.708(7) Å, one μ-O²⁻ and three μ₃-O²⁻ bridges with Mo–O bonds of 1.876(7)–1.896(7) Å and 1.889(7)–2.044(9) Å, respectively. It is worth noting that no methanol co-crystallized with the POM even though the cluster can only be isolated in its presence. The structural features of sulfite **2** and selenite **3** templated POMs have been described previously.^[13,16]

Additionally, the average P–O and S–O bond distance of the heteroanions in **1** and **2** were found to be similar, 1.531(4) and 1.549(5) Å, respectively, with longer bonds for the Se- and Te-based POMs at 1.71(2) and 1.870(9) Å, respectively (Table S2). The elongation of the E–O bonds that was observed in every case due to the increased atomic radius of the heteroatom (S < P < Se < Te), is reflected in the smaller average O–E–O bond angle in the EO₃²⁻ unit: **1**, 110.9(2)°; **2**, 103.6(3)°; **3**, 99.6(7)°, and **4**, 95.2(4)° (Table S3). Additionally, the average distance of the heteroatom above the O₃ plane increases gradual-

ly from 0.479 to 0.652, 0.809, and 0.977 Å in **1–4**, respectively. Consequently, the heteroatom is located, in each case, further away from the plane defined by the six metal centers in the belt region of the lower hemisphere. All the above experimental observations are in excellent agreement with the results predicted by our theoretical studies (see below). Utilization of different counterions resulted in the crystallization of all four compounds in different space groups and packing configurations (Figure 2). When sodium and dimethylammonium were




Figure 2. Polyhedral representation of the packing mode of compounds a) **1** and b) **4** along the *b*-axis. Color code: Mo, teal; V, light orange; Na: plum. Counterions have been omitted for clarity.

employed, **1** crystallized in the $P\bar{1}$ space group, whereas **4** was crystallized in the $Pnma$ space group. On the other hand, the presence of potassium counterions in the case of **2** and ammonium counterions in the case of **3** resulted in crystallization of the relevant species in the $P2_1/m$ and $P\bar{4}b2$ space groups.^[13,16] Interestingly, the EO_3^{2-} ($E=PH, S, Se, Te$) pyramidal anion directed the assembly of the Mo/V-based building blocks towards the formation of the $\{Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}\}$ shell accompanied by the “breaking” of the idealized symmetry from D_{3hr} , C_{3v} and D_{3d} observed in the traditional Dawson architectures to C_s .^[37] The generation of different isomers triggered by the assembly process (“assembly isomerism”)^[38] induces different local symmetry on the lower hemisphere of the POM. This is a key consideration in the interpretation of their EPR spectra (see below).

Mass spectrometry

In an effort to characterize further this family of clusters, we employed high-resolution electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) to determine unambiguously the structural integrity and composition of the POMs in solution. The composition as well as the structural integrity of the polyoxometalate anions of **1**, $[Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(HPO_3)]^{7-}$, and **4**, $[Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(TeO_3)]^{7-}$ in solution have been confirmed. The ESI-MS studies were performed in a solvent mixture of $H_2O/MeCN$. The observation of a series of partially overlapping envelopes is due to the existence of multiple charged states of the same moiety, resulting from the variable number of protons and counterions, which consequently leads to the observation of overlapping isotopic distribution envelopes. This type of behavior is quite common in aqueous solution studies of POM compounds.^[39] The overlapping distribution envelopes observed for **1** fall in the region of m/z 1356.4 and 1478.9 (Figure 3). These




Figure 3. Negative ion mass spectrum of **1** in $H_2O/MeCN$. Inset: expanded distribution envelope of the discrete $\{Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(HPO_3)\}$ POM. The peak separation of the distribution envelope reveals a doubly charged ($z=-2$) anionic species.

envelopes can be assigned to the general formulae $\{[Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(HPO_3)]_m(MeOH)_nNa_p(Me_2NH_2)_q(OH_2)_r\}^{z-}$, $m=1-2$, $n=2-6$, $p=1-2$, $q=2-7$, $r=14-25$, $z=2$ (Table S4). At higher m/z values it was possible to observe 3- charged supramolecular assemblies of the intact clusters in the region m/z ca. 1600–2000. Similar behavior was observed in the case of **4** (Figure 4). The overlapped distribution envelopes observed in the range of m/z 964.2 and 1640.4 can be assigned to the general formula $\{[Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(TeO_3)](MeOH)_nNa_p(Me_2NH_2)_q(OH_2)_r\}^{z-}$ with $n=0-5$, $p=1-7$, $q=3-10$, $r=7-22$, $z=2$ and 3 (Table S5). The change of the oxidation state of the metal centers is due to the ionization and consecutive ion-transfer process of the charged species and has been observed previously on numerous occasions.^[13–18]

Electrochemistry

Cyclic voltammetry was used to investigate the electrochemical behavior of each cluster and the electronic effect of the heteroanion on the reduction potential. The overall negative charge of the POM as well as the charge of the heteroanion is




Figure 4. Negative ion mass spectrum of **1** in H₂O/MeCN. Inset: expanded distribution envelope of the discrete {Mo₁₁V₇O₅₂(TeO₃)} POM. The peak separation of the distribution envelope reveals a triply charged (*z* = −3) anionic species.

identical in each case while the study compares the redox behavior as the series is traversed with increasing atomic number. Voltammograms of **1–4** were recorded in 0.1 M acetate buffer solutions with Na₂SO₄ as supporting electrolyte.^[40] Reduction potentials are referenced to the Ag/AgCl couple. The measurements were performed over the potential window ranging from 0 to +1.4 V at a scan rate in the range of 50–400 mV s^{−1} towards the positive direction. The studies revealed that the quasi-reversible redox wave corresponds to a two-electron oxidation of both V^{IV} centers as confirmed by controlled potential coulometry. This behavior has been observed in similar vanadium-containing POMs,^[41,42] indicating the V^{IV} ions are electronically independent from each other. The reduction potential is modulated by the heteroanion, occurring at 0.60 V in **3**, and more positive values of 0.79 V and 0.86 V for the S- and P-based clusters, respectively (Figure 5). The reduction of the Te-containing POM is the most facile and shifts to even less positive potentials. In this case the redox wave is buried among other electrochemical processes and overlaps with electrochemical events associated with the Mo/V metal




Figure 5. Comparison of the cyclic voltammograms of **1**, **2**, and **3** recorded in 0.1 M acetate buffer (0.2 M Na₂SO₄ supporting electrolyte) at ambient temperature with a scan rate of 200 mV s^{−1}.

centers located at the lower hemisphere of the POM, leading to overlapped V-centered processes and a broad redox wave in the region of ca. 0.42 V (Figure S15). A clear trend to less positive potential is observed for this oxidation process traversing series **1–4** as a function of the electronegativity of the heteroatom as seen in related mixed-metal POMs (see below).^[43]

EPR spectroscopy

The presence of two paramagnetic V^{IV} d¹ ions was confirmed by EPR spectroscopy on **1–4**; spectra recorded at X-band frequencies at 130 K on samples diluted in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO)/H₂O solutions are presented in Figure 6. Each cluster




Figure 6. X-band EPR spectra of **1–4** in DMSO/H₂O solution at 130 K. Experimental data are represented by the black line. Simulations are depicted by the red trace and parameters are summarized in Table 1.

gives a signal consistent with V^{IV} ions with the spectrum dominated by hyperfine coupling to the ⁵¹V nucleus (*I* = 7/2, 99.75% natural abundance) generating the hallmark 8-line pattern. The appearance of the hyperfine structure can range from an axially-split 15-line pattern^[44,45–51] to a broadened 8-line spectrum^[13,52,53–56] to a completely isotropic profile^[41,57,58] depending on the POM, the number of V^{IV} ions, and their position in

the cluster. However, it is mostly the sample (polycrystalline or frozen solution) and measurement conditions (temperature, frequency) that dictate the final outcome of EPR experiments.

The profile for **2** and **4** are similar, and more elaborate than for **1** and **3**. The spectrum of **3** is the most featureless: an isotropic 8-line splitting that spans ca. 100 mT consistent with uncoupled $V^{IV} S = 1/2$ ions; that is, the superposition of two monovanadium(IV) spectra. This is supported by the absence of a signal at half-field for forbidden transition that derives from the triplet ($S = 1$) state of coupled V^{IV} ions.^[45,57] Interestingly the frozen solution and polycrystalline spectra, both recorded at 130 K, are identical (Figure S18). The hyperfine features are less pronounced in the room temperature spectrum though the overall spectral width is essentially the same. This indicates that the appearance of the spectrum of **3** is dominated by intermolecular interactions, which are unperturbed when placed in solution. The most likely cause for the persistently large linewidth seen for **3** (cf. **1**, **2**, and **4**) is a dipolar broadening between neighboring units that are bound together through their K^+ counterions as seen in its solid-state packing.^[16] This is also an exchange coupling pathway, in which the spins on neighboring clusters are coupling through the noncovalent bonds mediated by the terminal oxo groups and the K^+ counterions, and gives rise to exchange narrowing that reduces the linewidth in the center of the spectrum relative to the extremes.^[59] This is less noticeable in **3** because the linewidths across the spectrum are essentially uniform. The width is derived from the magnitude of the hyperfine interaction with the ^{51}V nuclei, and is noticeable weaker for **1** and **3** than **2** and **4**; however it is not possible to distinguish the intermolecular exchange interaction from the intrinsic one that can arise from neighboring V^{IV} ions within the cluster. The superhyperfine coupling from the V^{IV} ions in the cluster will also contribute to the linewidth.^[52,54]

The spectral width and profile for **1** is similar, although there is some weakly resolved hyperfine structure that is suggestive of overlapping signals rather than the result of defects in the DMSO/ H_2O glass. The polycrystalline samples recorded at 130 K and room temperature gave a single featureless line that is commonly encountered in spectra recorded on undiluted powders (Figure S16).^[41,57,58] In the solid state, units of **1** forms oligomeric chains linked by their two Na^+ counterions (Figure 2). This interaction is seemingly less robust than in **3** when dissolved in the DMSO/ H_2O medium for the frozen solution spectrum (Figure S18), which displays a more complex lineshape profile indicative of a second signal with narrower lines sitting beneath the dominant isotropic one.

The EPR spectrum of **2** is the most informative (Figure 6). By visual inspection it can be seen to be composed of two subspectra, with the dominant species having a similar isotropic profile based on the resonance position and number of lines to that of **3**. However, unlike in **1** and **3**, the inner lines at the resonance position are significantly more resolved. The second component has sharper lines and spans ca. 160 mT, with the outermost hyperfine lines being clearly resolved. This subspectrum is characteristic of a monovanadium(IV) with its axial symmetry where the parallel hyperfine component, $A_{||}$, is larger

than perpendicular component, A_{\perp} (see below).^[44,46,51,60] There are at least three components that comprise the EPR spectrum of **4**, with the broad lines on the isotropic component and a miniscule contribution from two axial signals identical to that seen in **2**.

The EPR spectra have been simulated by using the spin-Hamiltonian defined in Equation (1):

$$\hat{H} = \mu_B \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{g} \cdot \mathbf{S} + \mathbf{S} \cdot \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{I} \quad (1)$$

in which \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{A} are the 3×3 electron Zeeman and magnetic hyperfine interaction matrices, respectively. Oxovanadium(IV) EPR spectra are characterized as highly axial symmetry with $g_{||} (=g_z) < g_{\perp} (=g_x, g_y) < g_e (=2.0023)$. The hyperfine splitting is also axial with $A_{||} > A_{\perp}$,^[61] and these are the parameters that describe the 2B_2 ground state (d_{xy} orbital) of the $[VO]^{2+}$ unit possessing C_{4v} symmetry, in which the z-axis is parallel to the $V=O$ bond.^[62] However, the presence of two of these centers in different locations across the nine available sites of the lower hemisphere of the cluster where the neighbors will vary (V^V and Mo^VI) means these paramagnetic centers will trend closer to orthorhombic symmetry; that is, $g_x \neq g_y$ and $A_x \neq A_y$. Despite this, we maintain the \mathbf{g} and \mathbf{A} axes are coincident due to the lack experimental resolution to allow inclusion of noncoincidence angles. With the exception of **3**, a satisfactory simulation was only achieved by carefully weighting the contributing subspectra to the total signal (Figure 6). The spin-Hamiltonian parameters for each subspectrum and relative contribution to the simulation are compiled in Table 1.

The spectrum of **3** was simulated as a single $S = 1/2$ species with a large linewidth that masks the anisotropy and rhombicity of the principal g -values. As such it shows the greatest departure from axiality when compared with a single V^{IV} center in related POMs such as $[PV^{IV}W_{11}O_{40}]^{5-}$,^[51] however, orthorhombic spectra have been diagnosed in related Dawson structures,^[49] and more notably in $[VO]^{2+}$ -doped single crystals.^[60] The most stark difference are the small hyperfine coupling constants, which are uniformly smaller than for the single oxovanadium(IV) species, which is to say the A -anisotropy remains the same but there is less spin density on the V^{IV} ion. This is symptomatic across the series as the dominant component to the spectrum have similar principal g - and A -values (Table 1). Similar in profile, **1** was simulated using identical parameters to **3**. In addition, a miniscule (0.5%) second species was overlaid to account for the spectral profile, which has similar g -values but larger hyperfine coupling that account for the breadth of the experimental spectrum.

The spectrum of **2** was simulated by combining two subspectra. The main constituent has similar spin-Hamiltonian parameters to **3** but the substantially smaller linewidth, larger g -anisotropy and hyperfine coupling suggests this cluster is well separated from its neighbors and therein from input from intermolecular interactions. The second species accounts for 14% of the overall signal, with spin-Hamiltonian parameters much like the classical vanadyl spectrum,^[52,45,46,48-51,63] and less rhombic than the major component. This signal does not stem from decomposition to release vanadyl-containing fragments

Table 1. Spin Hamiltonian parameters from EPR spectral simulation.

	Weight	g_x	g_y	g_z	$\langle g \rangle^{[a]}$	$\Delta g^{[b]}$	Rhombicity ^[c]	A_x	A_y	A_z	$\langle A \rangle^{[d]}$	k_{\perp}	k_{\parallel}
1	0.995	1.982	1.965	1.949	1.965	0.033	0.515	28	45	109	61	0.86	0.77
	0.005	1.983	1.969	1.945	1.966	0.038	0.368	57	59	130	82	0.84	0.80
2	0.860	1.986	1.964	1.947	1.966	0.039	0.564	42	53	128	74	0.77	0.79
	0.140	1.978	1.963	1.919	1.953	0.059	0.254	60	69	171	100	0.94	0.97
3		1.982	1.962	1.951	1.965	0.031	0.645	28	45	108	60	0.86	0.76
		1.976	1.966	1.948	1.963	0.028	0.357	32	45	122	66	0.98	0.78
4	0.013	1.978	1.963	1.930	1.957	0.048	0.313	60	71	180	104	0.94	0.90
	0.012	1.981	1.964	1.922	1.956	0.049	0.347	60	69	168	99	0.88	0.95
$[V^{IV}W_5O_{19}]^{4- [e]}$		1.969	1.969	1.949	1.962	0.020	0	61	61	167	96	≈ 1	0.77
$\alpha-[V^{IV}Mo_{11}O_{36}(PO_4)]^{5- [f]}$		1.974	1.974	1.939	1.962	0.035	0	53	53	151	86	≈ 1	0.84
$\alpha-[V^{IV}W_{11}O_{36}(PO_4)]^{5- [f]}$		1.970	1.970	1.915	1.952	0.055	0	60	60	167	96	≈ 1	0.99
$\alpha_1-[V^{IV}W_{17}O_{54}(PO_4)_2]^{8- [g]}$		1.970	1.970	1.917	1.952	0.053	0	53	53	166	91	≈ 1	0.96
$\alpha_2-[V^{IV}W_{17}O_{54}(PO_4)_2]^{8- [g]}$		1.964	1.964	1.885	1.938	0.079	0	48	48	166	87	≈ 1	≈ 1
$[V^{IV}O]^{2+ [h]}$		2.0153	1.9489	1.9155	1.960	0.100	0.665	63	92	193	116	0.86	0.98

[a] $\langle g \rangle = (g_x + g_y + g_z)/3$. [b] g -anisotropy, $\Delta g = g_x - g_z$. [c] Rhombicity = $(g_x - g_y)/(g_x - g_z)$. [d] $\langle A \rangle = (A_x + A_y + A_z)/3$. [e] Recorded at 77 K; data taken from ref. [44]. [f] Recorded at 77 K; data taken from ref. [43]. [g] Recorded at 77 K; data taken from ref. [45]. [h] Data for $[VO]^{2+}$ doped into single crystals of potassium oxalate monohydrate recorded at 293 K from ref. [52].

because these would appear in the mass spectrum (see above). Furthermore, **2** has a room temperature magnetic moment of $2.36 \mu_B$ in $[D_6]DMSO$ determined by NMR analysis. This corresponds to two uncoupled $S = 1/2$ centers with $g = 1.93$, which is very close to the average g -value for **2** (Table 1). On the whole, there is an intensity mismatch, with the experimental spectra possessing more signal around ca. 320 mT than in the simulation, which suggests a third subspectrum that is featureless and isotropic. The EPR spectrum of polycrystalline **2** has been reported,^[13] yielding $g = (1.981, 1.965, 1.946)$, and $A = (45, 45, 137) \times 10^{-4} \text{ cm}^{-1}$ from a multifrequency (X-, K-, and W-band) investigation at 10 K. These are essentially the same as the major component recorded here in a DMSO/H₂O frozen glass at 130 K, although the higher temperature data gives rise to broader lines. Simulation of **4** required three subspectra; the main component has spin-Hamiltonian parameters most similar to **3**. There are two noticeable differences, namely **4** has lower g_x than **3**, which we ascribe to the effect of the colossal Te spin-orbit coupling constant of 3950 cm^{-1} (cf. 1700 cm^{-1} for Se).^[64] Secondly, the A_z value is larger for **4** than **3**, and further suggests the small value in the latter stems from intermolecular interactions orchestrated by its K^+ counterions, which are absent in **4**. There are two minor signals with noticeably sharp lines that account for 2.5% of the overall spectrum. They are equally weighted, wherein the subspectrum with the smaller width has parameters identical to the minor component of **2**, and the other has a slightly larger A_z value.

EPR studies of paramagnetic polyoxometalates have catalogued a number of contributions to the profile of the spectrum. The salient contribution in vanadyl-substituted POMs is dictated by the neighboring metal ions and the connectivity between adjacent polyhedra, which varies according to the architecture, such as edge-shared in the Lindqvist ion, alternating edge-shared and corner-shared in the Keggin and Dawson ions.^[65] This is exemplified by a comparison of POMs with the inclusion of single V^{IV} ion presented in Table 1 because their parameters act as a useful guide. The g -anisotropy of the V^{IV} in

the Lindqvist ion is considerably less than the Keggin and Dawson ions, specifically a larger g_{\parallel} (g_z) value, and is diagnostic of adjacent edge-shared MO_6 polyhedra. The intermetal distance is shorter in edge-shared octahedra and allows the neighboring metal ions greater interaction with the vanadyl center either via the bridging oxo ligands or through direct overlap of d orbitals. This observation is relevant here to diagnose the isomers that give rise to the two distinct signals in **2** and **4** (Figure 6). From the single V^{IV} POMs, the variation in g_z stems from spin-orbit coupling from the adjacent Mo or W ions. For d^1 metal ions in an axially distorted octahedron (C_{4v}), the g -values can be represented as Equations (2) and (3):^[66]

$$g_{\parallel} = g_e - 8\lambda_0 k_{\parallel}^2 / \Delta E(B_2 \rightarrow B_1) \quad (2)$$

$$g_{\perp} = g_e - 2\lambda_0 k_{\perp}^2 / \Delta E(B_2 \rightarrow E) \quad (3)$$

in which λ_0 is the spin-orbit coupling constant for a free ion, $\Delta E(B_2 \rightarrow B_1)$ and $\Delta E(B_2 \rightarrow E)$ are the energies of the $d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{x^2-y^2}$ and $d_{xy} \rightarrow d_{xz,yz}$ ligand field transitions, respectively, of the tetragonally distorted oxovanadium(IV) center, and k_{\parallel} and k_{\perp} are parameters that represent the degree of covalency in V–O bonds along the z-axis and in the xy plane, respectively. For pure ionic bonds, these parameters take a value of unity. The spin-orbit coupling constant for V^{IV} is 170 cm^{-1} ,^[67] and the ligand field transition energies are experimentally measured at 12407 cm^{-1} and 15200 cm^{-1} from the representative electronic spectrum of **2** (Figure S20). However, any increase in bond covalency provided by the larger, more diffuse 4d and 5d orbitals of Mo and W ions would also reduce the ^{51}V hyperfine interaction as spin density is filtered away from the V^{IV} ion. However, the A -values are invariant, therefore the higher g_z value for molybdovanadates compared with tungstovanadates is a consequence of low-energy charge-transfer excited states that mix with the ground state via spin-orbit coupling.^[51] Although tungsten has a larger spin-orbit coupling constant, the heteronuclear intervalence charge transfer (IVCT) transition,^[68] $V^{IV} \rightarrow$

W^{VI} is typically above 20000 cm^{-1} .^[52,51,54] The analogous $V^{\text{IV}} \rightarrow \text{Mo}^{\text{VI}}$ IVCT transition is lower in energy, $16000\text{--}18000\text{ cm}^{-1}$,^[43,48,53] and therefore this effect is conceivably more potent leading to Mo contributions to the ground state that boosts g_z more so than $g_{x,y}$ [Eqs. (2) and (3)]. As the lesser component of the EPR spectrum of **2** has parameters similar to V^{IV} substituted POMs, it most likely the signal arises from an isomer where the V^{IV} center has only Mo^{VI} ions as its nearest neighbors. This would be the case of one V^{IV} in the M_6 belt, with two edge-shared Mo^{VI} and two corner-shared Mo^{VI} neighbors, where one of these is the in the upper hemisphere of the cluster (Figure 7). There is also a link to the tetrahedral VO_4




Figure 7. Depiction of the isomer that gives the minor signal (14%) in the EPR spectrum of **2**: the $V^{\text{IV}} S = 1/2$ ion (cerulean) situated in the M_6 belt connected to four Mo^{VI} ions (olive) and a V^{V} ion (cement) in the tetrahedral site of the upper hemisphere.

centers of the upper hemisphere; however, this coupling is negligible.^[48] Assuming a random distribution of isomers, that is all combinations of the five Mo^{VI} , two V^{V} , and two V^{IV} distributed across the nine sites of the lower hemisphere, as commonly encountered with molybdovanadates,^[48] the probability of this particular configuration is 12%. This matches very nicely to the 14% calculated from the simulation. This isomer is identified in **4**, although it constitutes a paltry 1.2% on the sample and indicates that the spread is not random but related to the heteroanion, either due to size or electronic properties, though most likely both. Certainly, the isolated V^{IV} isomer with this unique signal is not seen for **1** and **3**, in the solid state at cryogenic or ambient temperature (Figures S13 and S15). The second highly anisotropic signal observed in the spectrum of **4** is most likely the result of decomposition in DMSO solution and the facile reduction potential provided by the TeO_3^{2-} heteroanion in **4** leads to trace $[\text{VO}]^{2+}$ (1.3%), and is absent from the polycrystalline sample (Figure S19).

Therefore, the remaining isomers essentially give the same spectrum. The bulk of the signal has spin-Hamiltonian param-

eters dissimilar to the classic vanadyl and are commonly encountered in mixed-valence systems in which more than one vanadium ion is present in both the +IV and +V oxidation states.^[43,44,52,46,47,50,51,53,54,56,63] The driving force behind the reduced g -anisotropy and broad lines comes from electron hopping from the donor V^{IV} centers to adjacent acceptor V^{V} ions. The $V^{\text{IV}} \rightarrow V^{\text{V}}$ IVCT transition is found in the near-infrared region of the spectrum, below 9000 cm^{-1} .^[43,52,54] This makes the electron transfer extremely facile, and dependent on the V-O-V angle. For Lindqvist ions, in which all octahedra are edge-shared, the process is limited because the average 125° angle is not optimal for $d_{\pi}\text{-p}_{\pi}\text{-d}_{\pi}$ overlap through which the unpaired electron in the vanadyl d_{xy} orbital can transfer to an adjacent V^{V} center.^[52,53,56] At room temperature a 15-line spectrum is observed from coupling of the electron spin to two equivalent ^{51}V nuclei, both as a polycrystalline material and in solution.^[52,47,50,53,54,56,69]

At lower temperatures, and depending on the connectivity of the POM, the electron becomes localized. For example, the electron in $[\text{PV}_2\text{W}_{16}\text{O}_{62}]^{9-}$ is localized at 211 K as the vanadium ions occupy edge-shared sites on the M_3 cap.^[52] In contrast, in corner-shared, mixed-valent $V^{\text{V}}/V^{\text{IV}}$ moieties connected through more obtuse V-O-V angles up to 150° ,^[65] the unpaired electron is still delocalized to the adjacent V^{V} ion down to 10 K.^[53,70] Interestingly, protonation of one bridging oxo ligand destroys the efficiency of the $d_{\pi}\text{-p}_{\pi}\text{-d}_{\pi}$ overlap in a similar manner to positioning a group 6 ion between V^{IV} and V^{V} ions.^[52,49,50,53,54] This is the situation with these clusters, where the remaining isomers will have at least one V^{V} ion adjacent the paramagnetic V^{IV} . Therefore, the profile of the signal will be similar, given the electron hopping between corner-sharing octahedra, which link the three edge-shared octahedra in the M_6 belt and each metal site of the M_3 cap. This leads to delocalization of the electron even down to 10 K, as multifrequency measurements on polycrystalline **2** confirm.^[13] At this temperature the electron is mostly localized although with some contribution from neighboring V^{V} ions manifesting as line broadening. By this mechanism, the electron density at the V^{IV} center is lowered, commensurate with the attenuated A -values compared with the minor component of the spectrum of **2** (Table 1). When the values $g_z = 1.947$ and $g_x = 1.986$ (as a reasonable value for g_{\perp}) are added to Equations (2) and (3), respectively, k_{\parallel} and k_{\perp} are computed as 0.79 and 0.77. The deviation from unity is a measure of the degree of covalency in the bonds about the V^{IV} ions in the cluster. The terminal oxo ligand is inherently covalent on account of its short distance (ca. $1.6\text{--}1.7\text{ \AA}$) and multiple bond character.^[62] For each cluster, the major component of the EPR spectrum gives the same k_{\parallel} value, $0.76\text{--}0.79$ (Table 1). The in-plane covalency is greater for the major signal, and this stems from the delocalization of the unpaired electron through the bridging oxo ligands to neighboring V^{V} ions. The orthorhombicity observed with these spectra result from different metal ions, V^{V} and Mo^{IV} , either side of the vanadyl, which breaks the symmetry of the in-plane V–O bonds. For **1–4**, a similar trend in the in-plane bond covalency is observed, as defined by k_{\perp} (Table 1). The minor species in the spectra of **2** and **4** have a more classic vanadyl profile: a V^{IV} center without

adjacent V^V ions to accept electrons. This isomer is saturated at 10 K and therefore absent from spectra recorded on polycrystalline **2**,^[13] whereas it is visible in the spectrum recorded at 130 K with some features in the low-field extremity that are indicative of electron hopping.^[47,50,53]

DFT calculations

The electronic structure and redox properties of these clusters were investigated based on density functional theoretical (DFT) calculations. Each cluster was geometry optimized at the BP86 level of theory and, given their high charge, a water solvation shell was constructed defined by van der Waal radii of the vanadium, molybdenum, and oxygen atoms.^[17,71] The rigidity of these species is evidenced by the small differences between the optimized crystallographic structures (Table 2). Overall, the optimized clusters are ca. 0.05 Å taller and 0.2 Å wider, with no discernible change in the bond or angles about the heteroanion. With the heteroanions unchanged, the distance from the oxygen atoms to the surface metal ions increases compared with the solid-state structure.

The distribution of the four vanadium ions over the nine available sites of the lower hemisphere was chosen arbitrarily. For these calculated models, two went into the M_3 cap and the other two into the M_6 belt; 44% of all possible isomers possess this arrangement. For this structure, the calculation with a spin multiplicity of 3 would add two unpaired electrons. These were found to be positioned in the lower hemisphere, as demonstrated by BVS calculations (see above). The Mulliken spin population analysis reveals these unpaired electrons are delocalized over all nine metal ions, and does not distinguish individual V^V and V^V centers (Figure 8).

The vanadium ions carry slightly more spin density than their Mo^VI counterparts. This is marked by a quotient of β -spin on the six coordinated oxo ligands to each vanadium ion, which arises from bond polarization underscoring the ionicity of the V–O bonds (see above). The bonds about the larger Mo^VI ions are more covalent and thus no spin density is deposited on their first coordination sphere oxo ligands (unless linked to a vanadium ion). The two singly-occupied molecular orbitals (SOMO) from which the spin density distribution is de-




Figure 8. Top: comparison of the computed energies of the frontier MOs for 1–4 from ZORA-BP86 DFT calculations. The energies of the two SOMOs are labeled in green, the energy of the LUMO in orange, and the HOMO-2 labeled in red. Bottom: Mulliken spin density population (α -spin: lilac; β -spin: saffron).

rived are near degenerate, with a consistent energy separation of 0.17 eV across the series (Figure 8). These orbitals, which are composed of combinations of metal d_{xy} orbitals, comprise an E set in three-fold symmetry, but the lowering of symmetry because of the mix of metal ions in the lower hemisphere gives the calculated energetic splitting of these SOMOs; the third orbital related to these SOMOs is the A_1 symmetric LUMO. The energy separation will shift for the different isomers, and may even switch their energetic ordering. The HOMO-2 orbital, the highest doubly occupied MO, shows the greatest variation across the series, which would make it dependent on the heteroatom. For 1, the MO is mainly confined to the apex of the cluster. The involvement of oxo ligand p orbitals from the lower hemisphere to this MO increases in 3 in which a selenite sits at the core. In the heaviest cluster, this MO is predominantly localized to the basal M_3 cap. For 2, the HOMO-2 is unique,

Table 2. Comparison of salient experimental and optimized structural metrics.^[a]

E	[$Mo_{11}V_7O_{52}(EO_3)]^{7-}$	Height ^[b]	Width ^[c]	O–E–O ^[d]	E...O ₃ ^[e]	M_6 –O(–E) ^[f]	M_3 –O(–E) ^[g]
PH	experimental	8.884	7.175	110.7	0.479	2.412	2.412
	calculated	8.926	7.334	110.8	0.481	2.443	2.534
S	experimental	8.901	7.135	103.5	0.652	2.453	2.397
	calculated	8.937	7.325	103.2	0.667	2.496	2.508
Se	experimental	8.838	7.150	99.3	0.809	2.352	2.320
	calculated	8.919	7.331	99.6	0.817	2.414	2.473
Te	experimental	8.852	7.170	95.2	0.977	2.309	2.326
	calculated	8.901	7.337	94.9	1.000	2.344	2.439

[a] Distances in Å; angles in degrees. [b] Defined as the distance from the apical μ_3 -O of the upper hemisphere with the centroid of the M_3 base of the lower hemisphere. [c] Defined as the average distance between the Mo ions of the six-membered (Mo_3V_3) belt of the upper hemisphere. [d] Average bond angle in the EO_3^{2-} unit. [e] Distance of E atom above O_3 plane in the EO_3^{2-} unit. [f] Average M–O bond distance between M_6 belt of lower hemisphere and oxygen atoms of the EO_3^{2-} unit. [g] Average M–O bond distance between M_3 base of the lower hemisphere and oxygen atoms of the EO_3^{2-} unit.

and is the lone pair of the sulfur atom in the sulfite template. This demonstrates that as the principal quantum number is increased from 3p in **1** and **2**, to 4p in **3**, and 5p in **4**, the energy of the lower hemisphere is destabilized above the upper hemisphere. This will contribute to the observed reduction potentials, as the decrease in E–O covalency makes the oxidation processes more facile (see above).

The influence of the heteroatom on the electronic structure of these Dawson-like clusters is not immediately apparent from the MO diagram because of the large number of orbitals that contribute to the physical properties of these clusters. Moreover, the contributions of the heteroatom to the frontier MOs is almost negligible. However, the charge and size of the heteroatom effects the covalency of the E–O bonds and therein the basicity of these oxo ligands that are coordinated to the nine metal ions of the lower hemisphere. Larger SeO_3^{2-} and TeO_3^{2-} heteroanions are able to position their oxygen atoms closer to the metallic shell (Table 2), which effects the covalency of the terminal oxo ligands *trans* to this bond. Herein, the impact of the heteroanion is similar to an inductive effect primarily through the σ -bond pathway from the heteroatom to the transition metal ions. The electronegativity of the heteroatom in the EO_3^{2-} ion can be gauged by its Hirshfeld charge. These have been calculated for this series with P +0.45, S +0.42, Se +0.60, and Te +0.78. The latter has the most positive Hirshfeld charge and is thus the least electronegative heteroatom in this series; the overall trend perfectly matches the shift toward more positive reduction potentials as the series is traversed (Figure 9). The effect of decreasing electronegativity of the heteroatom limits its capacity to syphon electron density away from the metal oxide shell and making the loss of charge (oxidation) more facile.




Figure 9. Correlation of calculated Hirshfeld charge versus reduction potential for 1–4.

Conclusion

Herein, we reported the synthesis and characterization of two new heterometallic mixed-valent molybdovanadate POMs,

namely: $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_5\text{Na}_2[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}^{\text{V}}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{HPO}_3)]\cdot\text{MeOH}\cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**1**) and $(\text{Me}_2\text{NH}_2)_6\text{Na}[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}^{\text{V}}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{TeO}_3)]\cdot 15\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**4**), together with $(\text{NH}_4)_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}^{\text{V}}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SO}_3)]\cdot 12\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**2**), and $\text{K}_7[\text{Mo}_{11}\text{V}^{\text{V}}_5\text{V}^{\text{IV}}_2\text{O}_{52}(\text{SeO}_3)]\cdot 31\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (**3**) making a four-member series. Within this family, we examined the effect of changing the identity of the heteroatom on the molecular and electronic structure of the cluster. Experimental data corroborated by theoretical studies revealed that the two unpaired electrons are confined to the lower hemisphere, where two out of nine metal sites have a paramagnetic V^{IV} ion. They are seemingly distributed stochastically, giving rise to a continuum of isomers. The existence of these isomers, as well as the temperature, solvation, and counterion dependence of the EPR signal, hamper an unambiguous assignment of the spectra. Despite these challenges, we have identified that the unique EPR signal stems from the isomer with a V^{IV} ion with only Mo^{VI} centers located in the adjacent octahedra, which is readily distinguished from the signal for the remaining isomers that have at least one neighboring V^{V} ion. The relative intensity of this signal in the spectrum of **2** suggests there is a statistical distribution of all possible isomers (arranging four V ions over nine sites). Although the same signal is observed for **4**, albeit in only 1.2% abundance, it is absent in the spectra of **1** and **3**. This is because of associated factors that impact the EPR spectra rather than a particular preference of the heteroatom on the isomer distribution. It is most likely a statistical distribution, which is prevalent for molybdovanadate POMs,^[48] and the utility of the EPR spectra are, in this case, dependent on the counterions. We have revealed that the charge on the heteroatom follows the trend $\text{S} < \text{P} < \text{Se} < \text{Te}$, which is neatly correlated to the reduction potential, specifically the two-electron oxidation of the cluster, in which the smaller heteroatoms confer a positive shift of the reduction potential ascribed as an inductive effect that lowers the charge on the metal oxide shell. The main group template in these constructs act as electronic and assembly modulator, offering the opportunity to develop bottom-up design approaches for the assembly of nanostructured clusters that can be used as modular functional units in molecular electronics applications.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge financial support from the University of Glasgow, the EPSRC (grants EP/L023652/1, EP/K023004/1, EP/H024107/1, EP/I033459/1 and EP/J015156/1) and the ERC (project 670467 SMART-POM) for supporting this work.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: EPR spectroscopy · host–guest systems · polyoxometalates · self-assembly · supramolecular chemistry

[1] a) J. P. Jolivet, *Metal Oxide Chemistry and Synthesis: From Solution to Solid State*, Wiley, Chichester, 2000; b) M. T. Pope, A. Müller, *Polyoxo-*

- metalates: *From Topology via Self-Assembly to Applications*, Springer, Dordrecht, **2001**; c) T. Yamase, *Polyoxometalate Chemistry for Nanocomposite Design*, Springer, New York, **2002**; d) D.-L. Long, R. Tsunashima, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 1736; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 1780; e) H. N. Miras, J. Yan, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2012**, *41*, 7403; f) M. T. Pope, A. Müller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 34; *Angew. Chem.* **1991**, *103*, 56.
- [2] J.-J. Chen, M. D. Symes, S.-C. Fan, M.-S. Zheng, H. N. Miras, L. Cronin, *Adv. Mater.* **2015**, *27*, 4649.
- [3] a) J.-D. Compain, P. Mialane, A. Dolbecq, I. M. Mbomekallé, J. Marrot, F. Sécheresse, E. Rivière, G. Rogez, W. Wernsdorfer, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 3077; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 3123; b) M. Ibrahim, Y. Lan, B. S. Bassil, Y. Xiang, A. Suchopar, A. K. Powell, U. Kortz, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 4708; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 4805; c) M. Ibrahim, Y. Xiang, B. S. Bassil, Y. Lan, A. K. Powell, P. de Oliveira, B. Keita, U. Kortz, *Inorg. Chem.* **2013**, *52*, 8399; d) C. Ritchie, A. Ferguson, H. Nojiri, H. N. Miras, Y.-F. Song, D.-L. Long, E. Burkholder, M. Murrie, P. Kögerler, E. K. Brechin, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 5609; *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 5691.
- [4] a) L. D'Souza, M. Noeske, R. M. Richards, U. Kortz, *Appl. Catal. A* **2013**, *453*, 262; b) I. M. Mbomekallé, B. Keita, L. Nadjo, P. Berthet, K. I. Hardcastle, C. L. Hill, T. M. Anderson, *Inorg. Chem.* **2003**, *42*, 1163; c) N. Mizuno, K. Yamaguchi, K. Kamata, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **2005**, *249*, 1944; d) R. Neumann, A. M. Khenkin, I. Vigdergauz, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 875.
- [5] a) K. Nomiya, H. Torii, T. Hasegawa, Y. Nemoto, Y. Nomura, K. Hashino, M. Uchida, Y. Kato, K. Shimizu, M. Oda, *J. Inorg. Biochem.* **2001**, *86*, 657; b) J. T. Rhule, C. L. Hill, D. A. Judd, R. F. Schinazi, *Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *98*, 327.
- [6] a) G. Bernardini, A. G. Wedd, C. Zhao, A. M. Bond, *Dalton Trans.* **2012**, *41*, 9944; b) C. R. Graham, R. G. Finke, *Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, *47*, 3679; c) M. Haouas, I.-M. Mbomekallé, N. Vila, P. de Oliveira, F. Taulelle, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 5568; d) I. M. Mbomekallé, Y. W. Lu, B. Keita, L. Nadjo, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2004**, *7*, 893; e) T. Ueda, M. Ohnishi, D. Kawamoto, S.-X. Guo, J. F. Boas, A. M. Bond, *Dalton Trans.* **2015**, *44*, 11660; f) Y. Yang, S. Liu, C. Li, S. Li, G. Ren, F. Wei, Q. Tang, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *17*, 54.
- [7] a) S. Himeno, A. Saito, T. Hori, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* **1990**, *63*, 1602; b) U. Kortz, M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.* **1994**, *33*, 5643.
- [8] D.-L. Long, Y.-F. Song, E. F. Wilson, P. Kögerler, S.-X. Guo, A. M. Bond, J. S. J. Hargreaves, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2008**, *47*, 4384; *Angew. Chem.* **2008**, *120*, 4456.
- [9] J. Yan, D.-L. Long, E. F. Wilson, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 4376; *Angew. Chem.* **2009**, *121*, 4440.
- [10] M. J. Manos, J. D. Woollins, A. M. Z. Slawin, T. A. Kabanos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2002**, *41*, 2801; *Angew. Chem.* **2002**, *114*, 2925.
- [11] D.-L. Long, P. Kögerler, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 1817; *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 1853.
- [12] a) G. I. Chilas, H. N. Miras, M. J. Manos, J. D. Woollins, A. M. Z. Slawin, M. Stylianou, A. D. Keramidis, T. A. Kabanos, *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2005**, *77*, 1529; b) M. J. Manos, H. N. Miras, V. Tangoulis, J. D. Woollins, A. M. Z. Slawin, T. A. Kabanos, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2003**, *42*, 425; *Angew. Chem.* **2003**, *115*, 441; c) H. N. Miras, R. G. Raptis, P. Baran, N. Lalioti, A. Harrison, T. A. Kabanos, *C. R. Chimie* **2005**, *8*, 957; d) H. N. Miras, R. G. Raptis, N. Lalioti, M. P. Sigalas, P. Baran, T. A. Kabanos, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2005**, *11*, 2295.
- [13] H. N. Miras, D. J. Stone, E. J. L. McInnes, R. G. Raptis, P. Baran, G. I. Chilas, M. P. Sigalas, T. A. Kabanos, L. Cronin, *Chem. Commun.* **2008**, 4703.
- [14] H. N. Miras, M. N. Corella Ochoa, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Chem. Commun.* **2010**, *46*, 8148.
- [15] M. N. Corella-Ochoa, H. N. Miras, A. Kidd, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Chem. Commun.* **2011**, *47*, 8799.
- [16] M. N. Corella-Ochoa, H. N. Miras, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2012**, *18*, 13743.
- [17] Q. Zheng, L. Vilà-Nadal, C. Busche, J. S. Mathieson, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 7895; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 8006.
- [18] D.-L. Long, H. Abbas, P. Kögerler, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 3415; *Angew. Chem.* **2005**, *117*, 3481.
- [19] H. N. Miras, M. Sorus, J. Hawktett, D. O. Sells, E. J. L. McInnes, L. Cronin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 6980.
- [20] C. Busche, L. vilà-Nadal, J. Yan, H. N. Miras, D.-L. Long, V. P. Georgiev, A. Asenov, R. H. Pedersen, N. Gadegaard, M. M. Mirza, D. J. Paul, J. M. Poblet, L. Cronin, *Nature* **2014**, *515*, 545.
- [21] a) Q. Han, X. Sun, J. Li, P. Ma, J. Niu, *Inorg. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 2006; b) Y. Jeannin, J. Martin-Frere, *Inorg. Chem.* **1979**, *18*, 3010; c) Y. Ozawa, Y. Sasaki, *Chem. Lett.* **1987**, *16*, 923; d) J. Wang, P. Ma, J. Zhao, J. Niu, *Inorg. Chem. Commun.* **2007**, *10*, 523; e) J. Yan, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2010**, *49*, 4117; *Angew. Chem.* **2010**, *122*, 4211.
- [22] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1990**, *46*, 467.
- [23] G. M. Sheldrick, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **2008**, *64*, 112.
- [24] L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1999**, *32*, 837.
- [25] R. C. Clark, J. S. Reid, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A* **1995**, *51*, 887.
- [26] S. Stoll, A. Schweiger, *J. Magn. Reson.* **2006**, *178*, 42.
- [27] F. Neese, *WIREs Comput. Mol. Sci.* **2012**, *2*, 73.
- [28] a) A. D. Becke, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1986**, *84*, 4524; b) J. P. Perdew, *Phys. Rev. B* **1986**, *33*, 8822.
- [29] D. A. Pantazis, X.-Y. Chen, C. R. Landis, F. Neese, *J. Chem. Theory Comput.* **2008**, *4*, 908.
- [30] a) E. van Lenthe, J. G. Snijders, E. J. Baerends, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 6505; b) E. van Lenthe, A. van der Avoird, P. E. S. Wormer, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1998**, *108*, 4783; c) J. H. van Lenthe, S. Faas, J. G. Snijders, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **2000**, *328*, 107.
- [31] a) R. Ahlrichs, K. May, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2000**, *2*, 943; b) F. Weigend, R. Ahlrichs, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2005**, *7*, 3297.
- [32] a) A. Klamt, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1995**, *99*, 2224; b) A. Klamt, V. Jonas, *J. Chem. Phys.* **1996**, *105*, 9972; c) A. Klamt, G. Schüürmann, *J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 2* **1993**, 799.
- [33] a) P. Pulay, *Chem. Phys. Lett.* **1980**, *73*, 393; b) P. Pulay, *J. Comput. Chem.* **1982**, *3*, 556.
- [34] Molekel, Advanced Interactive 3D-Graphics for Molecular Sciences, Swiss National Supercomputing Center. <https://ugovaretto.github.io/molekel/>.
- [35] a) L. E. Briand, G. T. Baronetti, H. J. Thomas, *Appl. Catal. A* **2003**, *256*, 37; b) D. Honda, S. Ikegami, T. Inoue, T. Ozeki, A. Yagasaki, *Inorg. Chem.* **2007**, *46*, 1464; c) Y. Li, E. Wang, S. Wang, Y. Duan, C. Hu, N. Hu, H. Jia, *J. Mol. Struct.* **2002**, *611*, 185; d) C.-M. Liu, D.-Q. Zhang, D.-B. Zhu, *Cryt. Growth Des.* **2006**, *6*, 524; e) F.-X. Liu, C. Marchal-Roch, D. Dambournet, A. Acker, J. Marrot, F. Sécheresse, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2008**, 2191; f) E.-B. Wang, B.-T. Li, Z.-P. Wang, B.-J. Zhang, *Chem. Res. Chin. Univ.* **1996**, *12*, 322.
- [36] N. E. Brese, M. O'Keeffe, *Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B* **1991**, *47*, 192.
- [37] F.-Q. Zhang, W. Guan, L.-K. Yan, Y.-T. Zhang, M.-T. Xu, E. Hayfron-Benjamin, Z.-M. Su, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 4967.
- [38] R. S. Winter, J. Yan, C. Busche, J. S. Mathieson, A. Prescimone, E. K. Brechin, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 2976.
- [39] a) H. N. Miras, D.-L. Long, P. Kögerler, L. Cronin, *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, 214; b) E. F. Wilson, H. Abbas, B. J. Dunscombe, C. Streb, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 13876.
- [40] C. Baffert, S. W. Feldberg, A. M. Bond, D.-L. Long, L. Cronin, *Dalton Trans.* **2007**, 4599.
- [41] L.-H. Bi, U. Kortz, M. H. Dickman, S. Nellutla, N. S. Dalal, B. Keita, L. Nadjo, M. Prinz, M. Neumann, *J. Cluster Sci.* **2006**, *17*, 143.
- [42] B. Keita, R. Contant, P. Mialane, F. Sécheresse, P. de Oliveira, L. Nadjo, *Electrochem. Commun.* **2006**, *8*, 767.
- [43] J. J. Altenau, M. T. Pope, R. A. Prados, H. So, *Inorg. Chem.* **1975**, *14*, 417.
- [44] H. So, C. M. Flynn, Jr., M. T. Pope, *J. Inorg. Nucl. Chem.* **1974**, *36*, 329.
- [45] A. Aboukaïs, C. Hauptmann, J. J. André, C. Desquilles, M. Dourdin, I. Matthes-Juventin-Andrieu, F. C. Aïssi, M. Guelton, *J. Chem. Soc. Faraday Trans.* **1995**, *91*, 1025.
- [46] I. Kaminker, H. Goldberg, R. Neumann, D. Goldfarb, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 10014.
- [47] a) C. W. Lee, H. So, K. R. Lee, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *7*, 39; b) C. W. Lee, H. So, K. R. Lee, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *7*, 108.
- [48] H. N. Miras, D. Stone, D.-L. Long, E. J. L. McInnes, P. Kögerler, L. Cronin, *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 8384.
- [49] M. Otake, Y. Komiyama, T. Otaki, *J. Phys. Chem.* **1973**, *77*, 2896.
- [50] J. Park, H. So, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *15*, 752.
- [51] D. P. Smith, H. So, J. Bender, M. T. Pope, *Inorg. Chem.* **1973**, *12*, 685.
- [52] S. P. Harmalkar, M. A. Leparulo, M. T. Pope, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1983**, *105*, 4286.
- [53] E. Cadot, M. Fournier, A. Tézé, G. Hervé, *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 282.
- [54] S. P. Harmalkar, M. T. Pope, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1981**, *103*, 7381.

- [55] A. Müller, J. Döring, M. I. Khan, V. Wittneben, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1991**, *30*, 210; *Angew. Chem.* **1991**, *103*, 203.
- [56] C. M. Varghese, A. Shunmugasundaram, R. Murugesan, T. Jeyabalan, *Proc. Indiana Acad. Sci.* **2002**, *114*, 75.
- [57] A.-L. Barra, D. Gatteschi, B. S. Tsukerblat, J. Döring, A. Müller, L.-C. Brunel, *Inorg. Chem.* **1992**, *31*, 5132.
- [58] a) W.-M. Bu, L. Ye, G.-Y. Yang, M.-C. Shao, Y.-G. Fan, J.-Q. Xu, *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1279; b) M. I. Khan, Y. Chang, Q. Chen, H. Hope, S. Park- ing, D. P. Goshorn, J. Zubieta, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1992**, *31*, 1197; *Angew. Chem.* **1992**, *104*, 1236; c) L.-S. You, Q.-Y. Zhu, X. Zhang, Y.-Y. Pu, G.-Q. Bian, J. Dai, *CrystEngComm* **2013**, *15*, 2411; d) S. T. Zheng, J. Zhang, G.-Y. Yang, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* **2004**, 2004; e) S.-T. Zheng, J. Zhang, G.-Y. Yang, *Inorg. Chem.* **2005**, *44*, 2426.
- [59] P. W. Anderson, P. R. Weiss, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* **1953**, *25*, 269.
- [60] R. Kripal, M. Maurya, H. Govind, *Physica B* **2007**, *392*, 281.
- [61] A. Abragam, B. Bleaney, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance of Transition Metal Ions*, Clarendon Press, Oxford, **1970**.
- [62] C. J. Ballhausen, H. B. Gray, *Inorg. Chem.* **1962**, *1*, 111.
- [63] A. M. Khenkin, P. J. Carl, D. Baute, A. M. Raitsimring, A. V. Astashkin, L. J. W. Shimon, D. Goldfarb, M. Neumann, *Inorg. Chim. Acta* **2006**, *359*, 3072.
- [64] K. Wittel, R. Manne, *Theor. Chem. Acc.* **1974**, *33*, 347.
- [65] M. T. Pope, *Heteropoly and Isopoly Oxometalates*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, **1983**.
- [66] J. A. Weil, J. R. Bolton, J. E. Wertz, *Electron Paramagnetic Resonance*, Wiley, New York, **1994**.
- [67] S. K. Misra, J. Sun, *Physica B* **1990**, *162*, 331.
- [68] N. S. Hush, *Prog. Inorg. Chem.* **1967**, *8*, 391.
- [69] M. M. Mossoba, C. J. O'Connor, M. T. Pope, E. Sinn, G. Hervé, A. Tézé, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 6864.
- [70] R. A. Prados, P. T. Meiklejohn, M. T. Pope, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1974**, *96*, 1261.
- [71] a) X. López, J. M. Maestre, C. Bo, J. M. Poblet, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2001**, *123*, 9571; b) X. López, L. Vilà-Nadal, X. Aparicio-Anglès, J. M. Poblet, *Phys. Procedia* **2010**, *8*, 94; c) X. López, I. A. Weinstock, C. Bo, J. P. Sarasa, J. M. Poblet, *Inorg. Chem.* **2006**, *45*, 6467.

Manuscript received: December 1, 2017

Accepted manuscript online: January 9, 2018

Version of record online: February 26, 2018
